

Race for AI Supremacy: Comparing US and China's Innovation Paths Through College Students' Views on Facial Recognition Technology

Yi Wu

University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA

Abstract: *This paper explores the fundamental ideological differences between Chinese and American college students that shape their attitudes toward Facial Recognition Technology (FRT). Through a comparative analysis of the perspectives of college students in both countries, the paper highlights three key aspects: the emphasis on personal safety and national governance versus human rights and privacy protection, the willingness to adopt new technologies versus skepticism, and the influence of centralized versus decentralized approaches to technological implementation. These differences are rooted in historical, cultural, and philosophical traditions that continue to influence modern societal values and policy decisions.*

Keywords: Facial Recognition Technology (FRT), China, United States (US), Ideology, Personal Safety, Human Rights, Privacy, Technological Adoption.

1. Introduction

Facial Recognition Technology (FRT) has emerged as a crucial component in modern surveillance and security systems, offering significant benefits but raising concerns regarding privacy and civil liberties. This paper delves into the ideological underpinnings behind the differing attitudes of Chinese and American college students toward FRT. Examining these fundamental differences aims to provide a deeper understanding of the cultural, political, and societal contexts that shape these perspectives.

Building on the findings from three prior research studies of mine, which utilized comprehensive surveys, focus groups, and interviews, this paper provides an in-depth analysis of the reasons behind these attitudes. The previous studies captured a wide range of data on student perspectives regarding FRT, focusing on its societal applications, accuracy awareness, privacy impacts, security perceptions, data sharing willingness, scope of usage concerns, and its perceived role in various sectors. These studies employed a mixed-methods approach to ensure both breadth and depth in the findings, achieving methodological triangulation by combining quantitative and qualitative data.

The initial phase of my research involved conducting detailed surveys among college students in China and the United States, which provided a foundational understanding of general attitudes toward FRT. Follow-up surveys were conducted to delve deeper into prominent concerns like privacy and gather more comprehensive demographic data. Focus group sessions enriched the study with qualitative insights, capturing nuanced opinions and experiences regarding FRT. Finally, in-depth individual interviews offered a detailed understanding of personal experiences and attitudes toward FRT.

What are the fundamental differences among these two superpowers' youth attitudes, and why? In this following paper, I move beyond the empirical data to analyze the underlying reasons for the observed attitude differences. The

analysis considers the broader cultural, political, and societal factors influencing these perspectives. In China, the emphasis on collective well-being and social stability, deeply rooted in Confucian philosophy, often translates into a greater focus on personal safety and national governance. This cultural disposition supports the acceptance of state surveillance and technologies like FRT that are perceived to enhance security and public order.

Conversely, American ideology is deeply rooted in the principles of individualism and the protection of personal freedoms, as enshrined in the Constitution and the Bill of Rights. Historical experiences such as colonization, the fight for independence, and the civil rights movement have reinforced the strong emphasis on human rights and privacy protection. Americans are more likely to scrutinize technologies like FRT for their potential to infringe on individual liberties and civil rights (Wu, 2024).

This paper comprehensively analyzes how these ideological differences influence the acceptance and integration of FRT in China and the United States. By examining the cultural, political, and societal contexts that shape these perspectives, I hope to offer valuable insights for policymakers, technologists, and educators. More importantly, understanding these differences is crucial for navigating the global discourse on the ethical and societal implications of emerging technologies beyond FRT.

2. Personal Safety and National Governance vs. Human Rights and Privacy Protection

2.1 Ideological Roots of Chinese Citizens

In China, there is a long-standing emphasis on collective well-being and social stability, which often translates into a greater focus on personal safety and national governance. This cultural disposition can be traced back to Confucian philosophy, which originated by Confucius in the 6th-5th century BCE, prioritizes moral perfection, social harmony, and the role of education in shaping character (Social Studies

Help, 2024).

Confucianism, which has deeply influenced Chinese culture for centuries, promotes the values of social harmony, respect for authority, and the collective good over individualism. These values support the acceptance of measures that prioritize societal stability and security. The respect for hierarchical structures and the collective over the individual is embedded in the societal fabric, making the populace more amenable to government initiatives that promise enhanced public safety. Confucianism among Chinese citizens has become a strong foundation for the Chinese government to advance its policies.

Surveys conducted in China have shown that a significant portion of the population, college students, supports using surveillance technologies if they contribute to social stability and security (Wu, 2024). This contrasts with the more privacy-sensitive attitudes in other countries. The public endorsement of surveillance measures underscores the collective prioritization of safety and order over individual privacy rights, aligning with the broader cultural and philosophical ethos that has shaped Chinese society for centuries.

During the COVID-19 pandemic, China rapidly implemented health codes and FRT to monitor and control the virus's spread (Liang, 2020). The public's compliance with these measures illustrates the prioritization of collective health and safety over individual privacy concerns. The swift and widespread adoption of these technologies during a public health crisis highlights the societal readiness to embrace tools that serve the greater good, even at the expense of personal privacy (Wu, 2023). The "Sharp Eyes" project integrates surveillance cameras across rural and urban areas to monitor public spaces and enhance crime prevention (Gershgorin, 2021). This initiative reflects the public's trust in government efforts to ensure safety and order. The extensive surveillance infrastructure underscores the government's commitment to maintaining public security and the population's general support for these measures.

The deployment of FRT in public spaces for crime prevention and public safety is widespread. Chinese cities like Beijing and Shanghai use extensive surveillance networks to monitor public areas, which has reportedly helped in reducing crime rates and increasing the sense of security among residents. The visible presence of surveillance technology contributes to a heightened perception of safety and a deterrence effect against potential criminal activities (Mozur et al., 2022). The social credit system in China, which uses various technologies, including FRT, to monitor and score citizens' behavior, reflects the acceptance of surveillance for societal governance. This system aims to promote trustworthiness and compliance with social norms (Yang, 2022). By integrating surveillance technologies into a broader framework of societal management, the government reinforces the importance of ethical behavior and social responsibility. Meanwhile, whether driven by state requirements, national security, or collective interests, the "obedience" and "cooperation" exhibited by Chinese citizens have been consistent and coherent throughout history.

2.2 Different Perspectives of Citizens in the United States

American ideology is deeply rooted in the principles of individualism and the protection of personal freedoms. Americans generally regarded natural rights as elements of natural liberty that governments should safeguard against private interference through laws such as tort and property law. They believed that governments could only limit these rights to promote the public good, and even then, only with the consent of the people or their representatives (Campbell, 2017).

The American Revolution and the subsequent establishment of the United States were founded on principles of individual liberty and resistance to authoritarian control. This historical context has ingrained a deep-seated value for personal freedoms and skepticism of government overreach (Scott, 2014). The emphasis on resisting authoritarianism has fostered a culture that highly values personal autonomy and vigilance against potential encroachments on individual rights.

The Fourth Amendment of the US Constitution protects citizens against unreasonable searches and seizures, emphasizing the nation's commitment to privacy and civil liberties. This legal foundation is a key reason why surveillance technologies, such as FRT, often face public resistance when they are perceived to threaten these rights. As a result, the use of FRT by law enforcement and private companies has been met with significant scrutiny from both the public and legislators. Concerns about privacy violations, potential misuse, and inherent biases in the technology have prompted calls for strict regulations or even outright bans in certain areas. This skepticism is driven by fears that such technologies could erode privacy and be misused in ways that undermine the very civil liberties that the Constitution seeks to protect. Several US cities, including San Francisco, Boston, and Portland, have enacted bans or strict regulations on the use of FRT by government agencies, citing privacy and civil rights concerns (Wu, 2024). These legislative actions represent a broader movement towards ensuring that technological developments do not come at the expense of individual freedoms.

Public opposition to surveillance technologies is often vocal and organized. For example, protests against police use of FRT during the Black Lives Matter movement highlighted concerns over racial profiling and the potential for abuse (Turner Lee & Chin-Rothmann, 2022). These protests underscore the public's demand for accountability and ethical considerations in the deployment of new technologies. Numerous studies and media reports have criticized the accuracy and ethical implications of FRT, particularly its potential to reinforce racial and gender biases. These critiques have fueled public skepticism and demands for transparency and accountability, reinforcing the notion that technological innovation must be approached with caution and a commitment to ethical standards.

3. Willingness to Adopt New Technologies vs. Skepticism

From my prior research, I find Chinese college students tend

to be more willing to adopt new technologies, particularly when they perceive significant benefits to security and efficiency (Wu, 2024). This willingness can be attributed to the rapid economic development and technological advancements that have transformed Chinese society over the past few decades. The government's proactive stance in promoting and deploying new technologies, coupled with a societal mindset that values innovation and progress, encourages a more open attitude toward technologies like FRT.

On the other hand, American students are more skeptical about new technologies, especially those that have the potential to impact privacy and civil liberties. This skepticism is rooted in a cultural tradition of questioning authority and prioritizing individual rights (Wu, 2024). The diverse and fragmented regulatory landscape in the United States, where states have significant autonomy, also contributes to a more cautious and deliberative approach to technological adoption. This approach allows for rigorous public discourse and debate, which can slow down the implementation of new technologies but also ensures that potential risks are thoroughly examined.

3.1 Economic and Societal Background about China

China has seen a rapid and widespread adoption of mobile payment systems like Alipay and WeChat Pay (Acclime China, 2024). By 2021, more than 87% of China's internet users used mobile payments, a significant increase from previous years (Ma, Zheng, and Vatsa, 2023). This adoption has streamlined transactions, enhanced convenience, and reduced the need for cash, reflecting the Chinese public's openness to integrating new technologies into daily life.

China leads the world in e-commerce adoption, with platforms like Alibaba's Taobao and JD.com becoming integral to everyday shopping experiences (Zhao, 2023). The rapid growth of e-commerce, driven by technological innovation and consumer readiness to embrace new shopping methods, underscores the societal mindset that values efficiency and convenience.

China's high-speed rail network, the largest in the world, exemplifies the country's commitment to adopting and integrating advanced technologies (Jones, 2022). The public's acceptance and use of this efficient transportation system highlight a willingness to embrace innovations that offer clear benefits in terms of speed and convenience.

China has been at the forefront of implementing smart city technologies aimed at improving urban management and enhancing the quality of life. Cities like Hangzhou and Beijing have integrated AI and big data to manage traffic, security, and public services more efficiently (Kastner, 2019). The public's positive reception of these initiatives illustrates the societal appreciation for technological solutions that improve daily life.

The cases above illustrate how the Chinese government has actively driven the adoption of new technologies through targeted policies and initiatives. Notably, the "Made in China 2025" strategy aims to position China as a global leader in high-tech industries, creating an environment that fosters

technological innovation and widespread adoption (McBride & Chatzky, 2019). Government support and incentives have significantly accelerated the deployment of new technologies across various sectors, reinforcing a culture of innovation and progress.

3.2 Rationals about Citizens' Perspectives in the United States

Contrast to what happened in China, FRT has faced significant pushback in the US due to concerns over privacy and potential misuse. In 2019, San Francisco became the first major city in the US to ban the use of facial recognition technology by government agencies, citing concerns over civil liberties and potential abuses. Other cities, such as Boston and Portland, followed suit, implementing bans or strict regulations on the use of facial recognition technology by law enforcement and public agencies (Wu, 2024).

The United States has a fragmented approach to data privacy, with significant debate and varied regulations across states. The California Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA), enacted in 2018, grants California residents extensive rights regarding their personal data, including the right to know what information is collected, the right to delete data, and the right to opt-out of data selling. This law reflects the state's proactive stance on privacy but also highlights the lack of a unified national policy. Other states, such as New York, Virginia, and Illinois, have introduced or passed their own data privacy laws, leading to a patchwork of regulations that complicates nationwide compliance and enforcement (Wu, 2024).

American skepticism towards new technologies often stems from a historical and American consumers often express skepticism towards new technologies that raise privacy concerns, affecting market adoption. For example, products like Amazon's Alexa and Google's Nest have faced public scrutiny over potential eavesdropping and data collection, leading to calls for clearer privacy policies and more robust security measures. Major social media platforms, including Facebook and Twitter, have experienced backlash over data privacy issues, prompting users to demand greater transparency and control over their personal information (Lynskey, 2019).

4. Centralized vs. Decentralized Approaches to Technological Implementation

The contrast between China's centralized political system and the United States' decentralized governance plays a crucial role in how each country implements new technologies like FRT. These differing approaches affect the speed, consistency, and regulatory environment surrounding technological adoption.

4.1 China's Centralized Approach

China's centralized political system allows for swift and large-scale implementation of new technologies. The government's top-down approach enables rapid deployment and subsequent fine-tuning through trial and error. This method has been instrumental in the widespread adoption of

FRT across various sectors, from public security to commercial services.

One significant advantage of this system is the capacity for rapid deployment. The Chinese government is the last authority (Roberts, Cowls, & Morley et al., 2021). This centralized mandate allowed for a cohesive and efficient rollout of a complex surveillance network, highlighting the effectiveness of a top-down approach.

Additionally, China's approach allows for the quick testing of new technologies on a large scale through a process of trial and error. If issues arise, the government can adjust policies or roll back implementations, ensuring flexibility in technological advancement. An illustrative example is the deployment of FRT during the COVID-19 pandemic to monitor and enforce quarantine measures. The government swiftly adjusted these measures based on their effectiveness and public response, demonstrating the system's capacity for responsive governance (Kim, Chen & Liang, 2023).

Furthermore, centralized governance ensures unified regulations and standards across the country. This uniformity facilitates the seamless integration of technologies into various sectors, such as transportation and finance. The ability to implement unified regulations nationwide not only streamlines the integration process but also ensures that technological innovations are applied consistently and effectively.

4.2 United States Decentralized Approach

In contrast, the United States' decentralized approach to governance results in a slower, more fragmented process of technological implementation. Each state has the autonomy to regulate technologies like FRT, leading to a patchwork of laws and standards. This decentralized system significantly influences how technology is adopted and regulated across the country.

One key consequence of this approach is fragmented regulations. The autonomy of states creates a diverse regulatory landscape. This fragmentation can hinder the nationwide adoption of new technologies, as companies must navigate varying legal requirements. The lack of a unified regulatory framework means that technological implementation can be inconsistent and challenging for businesses operating in multiple states.

Moreover, the decentralized system allows for a more cautious and measured approach to technological adoption. Ethical considerations and potential harms are often rigorously debated before implementation. For example, the state of Illinois has implemented the Biometric Information Privacy Act (BIPA), which requires explicit consent from individuals before collecting biometric data. This act reflects a careful approach to privacy concerns and underscores the importance placed on individual rights and ethical considerations in the adoption of new technologies (Bellamy & Fernandez, 2023).

Additionally, decentralization promotes extensive public discourse and debate, ensuring that multiple perspectives are

considered before implementing new technologies. The resistance to FRT in cities like San Francisco, where it was banned for law enforcement use, exemplifies how public opinion and ethical considerations can influence policy decisions (Wu, 2024). Public discourse allows for a more democratic process, where the voices of various stakeholders, including civil rights groups and community members, play a crucial role in shaping policies.

4.3 Case Studies and Examples

4.3.1 Health Code Systems During COVID-19

In China, the centralized government swiftly implemented health code systems to track individuals' health status and movements during the pandemic. These systems were seamlessly integrated into everyday life, with rapid updates and adjustments based on the evolving situation. This centralized approach allowed for a cohesive and efficient response, ensuring that the health code systems were uniformly adopted and effectively managed across the country (Kim, Chen & Liang, 2023).

In contrast, the United States' response to COVID-19 was fragmented, with each state adopting its own measures. Some states implemented digital contact tracing apps, while others relied on manual contact tracing methods (Skoll, Miller & Saxon, 2020). The lack of a unified approach led to varying degrees of effectiveness and public acceptance. This disparity highlights the challenges of a decentralized system where states operate independently, leading to inconsistent implementation and outcomes.

4.3.2 5G Network Rollout

China's centralized government has aggressively promoted the rollout of 5G technology, with state-owned companies like Huawei and ZTE spearheading the effort. This top-down push has resulted in rapid development and widespread availability of 5G infrastructure across the country (Kharpal, 2018). The centralized strategy facilitated coordinated planning and execution, ensuring that 5G networks were deployed quickly and efficiently.

In the US, the 5G rollout has been slower and more piecemeal, with individual telecom companies like Verizon and AT&T working within a regulatory framework that varies by state (Johnson, 2023). Local zoning laws and differing state regulations have contributed to a more gradual deployment process. This decentralized approach often results in delays and inconsistencies, as companies must navigate a complex web of local regulations.

4.3.3 Smart City Initiatives

China's centralized strategy has led to the rapid development of smart cities, integrating FRT, artificial intelligence (AI), and Internet of Things (IoT) technologies to enhance urban management. Cities like Beijing, Shanghai and Hangzhou have implemented comprehensive smart city projects with centralized coordination, enabling efficient and uniform adoption of advanced technologies (Zhang, 2023).

In the US, smart city initiatives are often driven by local governments and private partnerships. Cities like San Francisco and Chicago have adopted smart technologies at a more measured pace, ensuring compliance with local regulations and addressing public concerns (Bent et al., 2019). This localized approach allows for tailored solutions that address specific urban challenges but can also lead to slower implementation and varying levels of technological integration.

5. Future Implications of Ideological Differences on the Technology Race between China and the United States

The ideological differences between China and the United States regarding personal safety, national governance, human rights, and privacy protection will significantly influence the future technology race between these two global powers. Each country's approach presents unique strengths and challenges that will shape their capabilities and competitive edges in various technological domains.

5.1 Rapid Innovation vs. Ethical Restraint

5.1.1 China's Advantage: Speed and Scale

China's centralized political system and collective cultural mindset facilitate the rapid deployment and scaling of new technologies. The government's capacity to mandate nationwide adoption of innovations such as FRT, along with the public's general acceptance, allows China to swiftly test, refine, and implement technologies on a large scale. This approach enables quick advancements and dominance in sectors that benefit from mass data collection and real-time analytics, including AI, smart cities, and public health monitoring. By leveraging these strengths, China can effectively position itself as a leader in technological innovation and integration.

5.1.2 Challenges: Ethical Concerns and Global Trust

However, China's aggressive adoption strategy may encounter significant ethical concerns and resistance on the global stage. Issues related to privacy violations, state surveillance, and human rights abuses could tarnish China's technological reputation and provoke pushback from other countries, particularly those that firmly advocate for democratic values. This resistance may limit China's influence and partnerships in regions with stringent data protection and privacy laws, potentially hindering its global technological outreach. Therefore, balancing rapid technological advancements with ethical considerations will be crucial for China to sustain its leadership and foster international trust.

5.1.3 United States Advantage: Ethical Innovation and Global Leadership

The United States' emphasis on individual rights and privacy protection fosters a more ethical approach to technological development. This caution can lead to innovations that prioritize user trust, transparency, and ethical standards, potentially giving the US an edge in areas like data privacy,

cybersecurity, and ethical AI. The fragmented regulatory landscape, while slower, ensures thorough public debate and scrutiny, which can result in more robust and socially responsible technologies. This approach not only safeguards civil liberties but also enhances the credibility and global appeal of American technological innovations.

5.1.4 Challenges: Slower Deployment and Fragmentation

The decentralized governance model in the US can hinder the rapid nationwide adoption of new technologies. The need for consensus across states and extensive public discourse may slow down the implementation process. Additionally, the patchwork of state laws and regulations can create inconsistencies and challenges for companies trying to deploy technologies at scale. While this method promotes ethical development and societal acceptance, it may impede the United States' ability to compete swiftly with nations like China that can enact and execute technological initiatives more rapidly. Balancing ethical innovation with streamlined deployment processes will be essential for maintaining competitive advantage.

5.2 Dominance in Specific Technological Domains

5.2.1 China's Strengths: AI Applications, Surveillance, and Smart Infrastructure

China's centralized approach and cultural acceptance of surveillance technology position it as a formidable leader in domains that require extensive data and system integration. The nation's strategic focus on AI is bolstered by its ability to leverage large datasets and real-time processing capabilities. China's extensive use of FRT in public security, coupled with its advanced smart city initiatives, exemplifies its proficiency in integrating technology with urban management and public services. These strengths suggest that China is likely to maintain a dominant position in AI applications, surveillance, and smart infrastructure, driving innovations that enhance urban efficiency and security.

5.2.2 United States Strengths: Innovation, Privacy-Tech, and Biomedicine

The United States, with its strong emphasis on privacy and ethical considerations, is well-positioned to lead in privacy-enhancing technologies, cybersecurity, and biomedicine. The rigorous ethical standards and robust regulatory frameworks in the US encourage the development of innovations that prioritize user consent, data protection, and transparency. This ethical approach is particularly advantageous in fields such as cybersecurity and privacy-tech, where trust and reliability are paramount. Additionally, the US is expected to maintain its leadership in biomedical research and healthcare technologies, areas where ethical considerations and patient privacy are critical. The focus on ethical innovation not only ensures compliance with stringent standards but also enhances the global reputation and acceptance of American technological advancements.

5.3 Global Influence and Partnerships

5.3.1 China's Strategic Partnerships

China's Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) serves as a cornerstone of its strategy to expand influence and market reach through strategic partnerships with developing countries. By exporting advanced technologies such as facial recognition technology (FRT) and smart city solutions, China aims to create dependencies that foster long-term alliances and enhance its geopolitical clout. The deployment of these technologies in partner countries not only showcases China's technological prowess but also integrates Chinese systems and standards into the infrastructure of these nations. For instance, Chinese tech giants like Huawei and ZTE have been instrumental in providing the technological backbone for smart city projects across Asia, Africa, and Latin America.

However, the aggressive export of surveillance technologies raises significant ethical concerns, particularly in regions with strong democratic values. Issues related to data privacy, state surveillance, and potential human rights abuses have sparked global debates about the implications of adopting Chinese technology. Countries with stringent data protection laws and a high regard for individual privacy may resist integrating Chinese surveillance systems, fearing the erosion of civil liberties. This resistance is evident in the skepticism and regulatory barriers faced by Chinese tech companies in regions like Europe and North America. Thus, while the BRI and technological exports can expand China's influence, the ethical and privacy concerns associated with these technologies may limit their acceptance and lead to a cautious approach in more privacy-conscious societies.

5.3.2 United States Global Alliances

The United States, in contrast, is poised to strengthen its global alliances with countries that share its values on privacy, human rights, and democratic governance. Collaborative efforts with European nations, which uphold stringent data protection standards such as the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), can significantly enhance the US's position in setting globally accepted ethical standards for technology. The GDPR, recognized as one of the world's most robust data protection frameworks, aligns closely with American principles of privacy and individual rights. By aligning with these standards, the US can leverage its technological expertise to promote innovations that prioritize user consent, transparency, and data security.

This ethical approach to technology can appeal to countries wary of surveillance and authoritarian control, positioning the US as a leader in privacy-tech and ethical AI. For example, initiatives like the EU-US Privacy Shield demonstrate the potential for transatlantic cooperation in creating secure and privacy-respecting frameworks for data exchange. Furthermore, the US's focus on ethical innovation resonates with global movements advocating for responsible technology use, particularly in regions experiencing backlash against invasive surveillance practices.

By reinforcing alliances with democratic nations and fostering a collaborative environment for developing ethical technological standards, the US can counterbalance the influence of surveillance-heavy regimes. This strategy not only strengthens geopolitical ties but also promotes the adoption of technologies that align with democratic values

and respect for human rights. In the long term, the emphasis on ethical standards and privacy protections can help the US build a global coalition dedicated to responsible and transparent technological advancement, ensuring that innovations serve the public good while safeguarding individual freedoms.

5.4 Long-term Sustainability and Innovation

5.4.1 China's Sustainability Challenges

While China's rapid implementation of technology provides significant short-term gains, it may face sustainability challenges in the long run. The aggressive adoption of technologies such as FRT, AI, and smart city infrastructures, while initially beneficial, may lead to ethical issues that could undermine long-term viability. Concerns over privacy violations, state surveillance, and potential human rights abuses have already sparked globally public dissent and international criticism. This backlash can impact the global acceptance and integration of Chinese technologies, as more countries become wary of the ethical implications associated with such advancements.

Furthermore, as global awareness and regulations around data privacy and ethical technology usage intensify, China may find it increasingly difficult to sustain its technological dominance without addressing these concerns. The balancing act between maintaining rapid innovation and incorporating robust ethical considerations will be critical for China. Failure to adequately address these issues could result in decreased international partnerships and a potential erosion of trust in Chinese technological solutions. Therefore, for China to maintain its global leadership, it will need to develop strategies that prioritize ethical standards and transparency, ensuring that its technological advancements are both innovative and responsible.

5.4.2 United States Sustainable Innovation

The United States' cautious and ethical approach to technological development may lead to slower initial deployment but is likely to ensure long-term sustainability and global trust. Technologies that are developed with a strong focus on privacy, user consent, and ethical standards tend to gain broader acceptance and integration, both domestically and internationally. This method not only aligns with the core values of individual rights and privacy but also builds a foundation of trust that can enhance the global appeal of American technologies.

The emphasis on ethical innovation can foster continuous technological advancements driven by public trust and international cooperation. For instance, the development of privacy-enhancing technologies and ethical AI frameworks can set global benchmarks that other countries may adopt, further solidifying the US's leadership in responsible technology. By prioritizing sustainable practices and adhering to high ethical standards, the United States can create an environment conducive to ongoing innovation and technological progress.

Additionally, this approach can help the US build strong

alliances with other nations that value ethical technology development, promoting a collaborative global ecosystem. The focus on long-term sustainability rather than short-term gains ensures that American technologies remain relevant and respected in the global market. By fostering an innovation landscape that prioritizes ethics and sustainability, the US can effectively navigate the challenges of the technological race and maintain its position as a leader in responsible and impactful technological advancement.

6. Conclusion

The technology race between China and the US will be shaped by their respective ideological foundations and approaches to innovation. China's centralized system and focus on collective well-being enable rapid technological advancements but may face ethical challenges and global resistance. The United States' emphasis on individual rights and ethical standards fosters responsible innovation but can slow down deployment and create fragmentation. Each country will likely excel in different technological domains, with China leading in AI applications and smart infrastructure, and the US in privacy-tech, cybersecurity, and biomedicine.

The ultimate "winner" of the technology race may not be determined by speed alone but by the ability to balance innovation with ethical considerations, gaining global trust and influence in the process. For instance, while China's rapid deployment of technologies such as FRT and smart cities showcases its ability to scale solutions quickly, it also raises significant concerns regarding privacy and surveillance. Conversely, the United States' slower, more deliberative approach may be perceived as cumbersome, but it underscores a commitment to ethical standards and the protection of individual rights, which are crucial for long-term acceptance and trust from both universal viewpoints and citizens' personal perspectives.

Moreover, the differing regulatory landscapes and governance models will influence how each country navigates global partnerships and sets international standards. China's centralized governance allows for swift implementation of national strategies, which can be advantageous in achieving technological dominance. However, this approach must be balanced with addressing ethical implications and international norms to avoid global resistance. On the other hand, while potentially slowing innovation, the United States' fragmented regulatory environment fosters a robust debate and development of comprehensive ethical frameworks that can set global benchmarks for responsible technology use.

In addition, the interplay between technological prowess and geopolitical strategy cannot be overlooked. Both nations are not only competing for technological superiority but also for the ability to shape the global digital economy and governance structures. This competition extends beyond pure technological capabilities to include influencing global standards, norms, and values that govern the use of technology. Therefore, the success of each country in this race will also depend on its ability to lead in setting these international standards and in fostering collaborations built on mutual trust and shared ethical principles.

Furthermore, as global interdependence in technology continues to grow, collaboration and conflict resolution mechanisms will become increasingly important. Countries must navigate the delicate balance between competition and cooperation, particularly in areas that impact global security and economic stability. This dynamic suggests that while the competition between China and the US is inevitable, there are also significant opportunities for collaboration in addressing global challenges such as climate change, pandemics, and cybersecurity threats.

Understanding and addressing these ideological differences will be crucial for navigating the future technological landscape and fostering responsible and sustainable technological development. Policymakers, technologists, and global leaders must work together to ensure that advancements in technology are aligned with ethical principles and that they contribute to the greater good of humanity. By doing so, they can ensure that technological progress benefits all of society, respects fundamental rights, and supports sustainable development goals.

Ultimately, the true measure of success in the technology race may not lie solely in the pace of innovation but in the ability to create a technology-driven future that is equitable, inclusive, and sustainable. The nation that can effectively balance these elements—rapid innovation, ethical standards, and global trust—will likely emerge as the leader in the new technological era.

Finally, I should say, the objective of this paper is not to determine which of the two countries excels in technological innovation, with facial recognition serving as a representative case, but rather to explore the historical foundations of these nations and the long-standing differences in their application of science and technology across the domains of economy, public welfare, and social governance. By examining the ideological divergences between the two countries, this study aims to elucidate the advantages and disadvantages of their technological innovation approaches.

As global citizens and inhabitants of the earth, we must recognize the potential for these nations to learn from each other's strengths and address their shortcomings. From the perspective of a shared human community, fostering innovation in the era of AI through a lens of cooperative and mutually beneficial engagement could yield the greatest benefits for humanity.

Acknowledgment:

I extend my profound appreciation to the Institute of East Asian Studies at the University of California, Berkeley, for bestowing me the esteemed fellowship for the 2023-2024 academic year. My gratitude extends to UC Berkeley's inherent ethos of democracy and freedom and the School of Public Policy for its rigorous training in objective and unbiased scholarly research. I am particularly indebted to Professor Rucker Johnson, whose guidance in the summer of 2022 profoundly shaped my research approach; he underscored the critical importance of eschewing preconceived notions as they compromise the integrity of academic inquiry. In the realm of research, I bear the

responsibility to approach my studies with unswerving neutrality. My sincere thanks go to the former Dean of the School of Information, Professor AnnaLee Saxenian, for her unwavering support of my initial research plan and research proposal, conducted under the mentorship of relentless guidance and corrections during the initial phases of my project were invaluable. I am also profoundly grateful for the astute and insightful contributions of Professor Morgan G. Ames throughout the progression of writing my research proposal. My acknowledgments would be incomplete without mentioning Professor Jane Mauldon, the Emerita Professor at the Goldman School of Public Policy at UC Berkeley, whose support and advice over the last eighteen months have been indispensable.

This research, as well as my concurrent studies on attitudes toward FRT among Chinese college students, among American college students and a comparative analysis of Chinese and American students' viewpoints on FRT, could not have been realized without the invaluable support from the Institute of East Asian Studies and all the distinguished professors mentioned above. These ancillary topics will be elaborated on in separate papers in the future. I am genuinely open to and welcome constructive feedback for any possible oversights in this study. I would appreciate communication for any amendments or clarifications.

References

- [1] Social Studies Help. (2024). Confucianism in East Asia: A guiding philosophy. Retrieved from <https://socialstudieshelp.com/confucianism-in-east-asia-a-guiding-philosophy/>
- [2] Wu, Y. (2024). Facial Recognition Technology: College Students' Perspectives in China. *Journal of Research in Social Science and Humanities*, 3(1), 53–79. Retrieved from <https://www.pioneerpublisher.com/jrssh/article/view/626>
- [3] Gershgorin, D. (2021, March 2). China's 'Sharp Eyes' Program Aims to Surveil 100% of Public Space. *OneZero*. <https://onezero.medium.com/chinas-sharp-eyes-program-aims-to-surveil-100-of-public-space-ddc22d63e015>
- [4] Liang, F. (2020). COVID-19 and Health Code: How Digital Platforms Tackle the Pandemic in China. *Social Media + Society*, 6(3). <https://doi.org/10.1177/205630512094765>
- [5] Acclime China. (2024, May 24). Mobile payments in China: A paradigm shift shaping the future of transactions. *Acclime China*. Retrieved from <https://china.acclime.com/news-insights/mobile-payments-paradigm-shift-future-transactions/>
- [6] Ma, W., Zheng, H., & Vatsa, P. (2023, March 13). China's experience with mobile payments highlights the pros and cons of a cashless society. *The Conversation*. Retrieved from <https://theconversation.com/chinas-experience-with-mobile-payments-highlights-the-pros-and-cons-of-a-cashless-society-201177>
- [7] Zhao, C. (2023, August 16). Amazing e-commerce revolution in China: Navigating the world's largest online market. *FDI China*. Retrieved from <https://fdichina.com/blog/e-commerce/>
- [8] Jones, B. (2022, February 9). Past, present and future: The evolution of China's incredible high-speed rail network. *CNN*. Retrieved from <https://www.cnn.com/travel/article/china-high-speed-rail-cmd/index.html>
- [9] Kastner, J. (2019, November 25). China's bet on smart cities. *CKGSB*. Retrieved from <https://english.ckgsb.edu.cn/knowledge/article/chinas-bet-on-smart-cities/>
- [10] Wu, Y. (2023). The Dilemma of Balancing Public Health and Economic Concerns: Benefit and Cost Analysis on China's COVID-19 Policy Under Monte Carlo Simulation. *Journal of World Economy*, 2(1), 45-60.
- [11] Mozur, P., Xiao, M., & Liu, J. (2022, June 25). 'An invisible cage': How China is policing the future. *The New York Times*. Retrieved from <https://www.nytimes.com/2022/06/25/technology/china-surveillance-police.html>
- [12] Yang, Z. (2022, November 22). China just announced a new social credit law. Here's what it means. *MIT Technology Review*. Retrieved from <https://www.technologyreview.com/2022/11/22/1063605/china-announced-a-new-social-credit-law-what-does-it-mean/>
- [13] Campbell, J. (2017). Natural rights and the First Amendment. *The Yale Law Journal*, 127(2), 246-489. Retrieved from <https://www.yalelawjournal.org/article/natural-rights-and-the-first-amendment>
- [14] Scott, C. E. (2014, Summer). The five conceptions of American liberty. *National Affairs*. Retrieved from <https://www.nationalaffairs.com/publications/detail/the-five-conceptions-of-american-liberty>
- [15] Wu, Y. (2024). Facial Recognition Technology: College Students' Perspectives in the US. *Trends in Sociology*, 2(2), 56–69. <https://doi.org/10.61187/ts.v2i2.119>
- [16] Turner Lee, N., & Chin-Rothmann, C. (2022, April 12). Police surveillance and facial recognition: Why data privacy is imperative for communities of color. *Brookings*. Retrieved from <https://www.brookings.edu/articles/police-surveillance-and-facial-recognition-why-data-privacy-is-an-imperative-for-communities-of-color/>
- [17] McBride, J., & Chatzky, A. (2019, May 13). Is 'Made in China 2025' a threat to global trade? *Council on Foreign Relations*. Retrieved from <https://www.cfr.org/backgrounder/made-china-2025-threat-global-trade>
- [18] Lynskey, D. (2019, October 9). 'Alexa, are you invading my privacy?' – The dark side of our voice assistants. *The Guardian*. Retrieved from <https://www.theguardian.com/technology/2019/oct/09/alexa-are-you-invading-my-privacy-the-dark-side-of-our-voice-assistants>
- [19] Roberts, H., Cows, J., Morley, J. et al. The Chinese approach to artificial intelligence: an analysis of policy, ethics, and regulation. *AI & Soc* 36, 59–77 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-020-00992-2>
- [20] Kim, Y., Chen, Y., & Liang, F. (2023). Engineering care in pandemic technogovernance: The politics of care in China and South Korea's COVID-19 tracking apps. *New Media & Society*, 25(6), 1432-1450. <https://doi.org/10.1177/14614448211020752>
- [21] Bellamy, F. D., & Fernandez, A. N. (2023, April 17). Illinois court decisions acknowledge biometric privacy act's damages a potential business killer. *Reuters*. Retrieved from <https://www.reuters.com/legal/>

- legalindustry/illinois-court-decisions-acknowledge-biometric-privacy-acts-damages-potential-2023-04-17/
- [22] Skoll, D., Miller, J. C., & Saxon, L. A. (2020). COVID-19 testing and infection surveillance: Is a combined digital contact-tracing and mass-testing solution feasible in the United States? *Cardiovascular Digital Health Journal*, 1(3), 149-159. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cvdhj.2020.09.004>
- [23] Johnson, A. (2023, December 7). The race to 5G is over — now it's time to pay the bill. *The Verge*. Retrieved from <https://www.theverge.com/23991136/5g-network-att-verizon-tmobile-cost-competition>
- [24] Kharpal, A. (2018, March 7). China 'has the edge' in the war for 5G and the US and Europe could fall behind. *CNBC*. Retrieved from <https://www.cnbc.com/2018/03/07/china-has-the-edge-in-the-war-for-5g-us-and-eu-could-fall-behind.html>
- [25] Zhang, L. (2023, May 4). AI powers China's smart cities with advanced technologies across industries. *Northeast Asia News*. Retrieved from <https://w.media/chinas-smart-cities-integrate-advance-technologies-in-various-industries/>
- [26] Bent, E., Crowley, M., Nutter, M., & Wheeler, C. (2019). Getting smart about smart cities. *Urban Sustainability Directors Network*. Nutter Consulting and the Institute for Sustainable Communities. Retrieved from <https://mayorsinnovation.org/wp-content/uploads/sites/868/2019/12/Getting-Smart-about-Smart-Cities.pdf>
- [27] Wu, Y. (2024). Facial recognition technology: Comparative analysis of college students' perspectives in China and the US. *Asian Journal of Social Science Studies*, 9(3), 1.