

The Optimizing Role and Future Prospects of AI in Traditional Piano Classrooms

Yeping Du

Department of Piano, School of Arts, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua 321004, Zhejiang, China

Abstract: *Artificial intelligence technology is gradually entering piano classrooms in higher normal universities, offering multidimensional possibilities for optimizing traditional piano teaching. This paper systematically explores the optimizing effects of AI on traditional piano classrooms from five dimensions: teaching efficiency, personalized guidance, collaborative ability cultivation, teaching evaluation, and teacher role transformation. The study argues that the core value of integrating AI into piano teaching lies not in replacing tradition, but in compensating for the long-standing structural shortcomings of traditional models—such as the lack of feedback in after-class practice, the scarcity of ensemble experience, and the difficulty of storing and analyzing teaching data. On this basis, the paper envisions the future of deep integration between AI and piano teaching, proposing the construction of a new teaching model characterized by “human-machine collaboration and complementary strengths,” enabling AI to evolve from an auxiliary tool into a teaching partner, and offering new ideas for the reform of piano education in higher normal universities.*

Keywords: Artificial intelligence, Piano teaching, Classroom optimization, Human-machine collaboration, Music education.

1. Introduction

Piano teaching is one of the core courses in music education at higher normal universities. For a long time, traditional piano teaching has formed a model dominated by “one-on-one” face-to-face instruction, supplemented by group lessons, accumulating rich teaching experience and methodological systems. However, this model also faces some limitations that are not easily overcome: teachers’ time and energy are limited, making it difficult to provide sufficient individual guidance to each student; students lack timely feedback during after-class practice, allowing errors to become ingrained as habits; and opportunities for collaborative accompaniment or ensemble playing are scarce, resulting in insufficient cultivation of cooperative skills.

The intervention of artificial intelligence technology offers new possibilities for addressing the above problems. It should be made clear that discussing AI integration into piano classrooms is not about replacing teachers with technology, but rather about exploring how technology can optimize those aspects of traditional classrooms that have long been difficult to improve. As researchers in the field of piano education have pointed out, the value of technological tools lies in “supplementing human deficiencies, not replacing human strengths.” Based on this position, this paper focuses on exploring the specific optimizing effects of AI on traditional piano classrooms and envisioning future development prospects.

2. Optimization of Traditional Piano Classrooms by AI

2.1 Optimization of After-Class Practice: From “Blind Practice” to “Immediate Feedback”

In traditional piano teaching, after-class practice is a crucial link in the learning chain, yet it is also the aspect most difficult for teachers to cover. Students attend lessons once a week, and during the six days in between, their practice is essentially in a state of self-directed exploration. From the perspective of

teaching principles, immediate feedback is more conducive to skill acquisition and error correction than delayed feedback — a conclusion that has been repeatedly validated in educational psychology. Correct playing is not reinforced in time, while errors may be repeatedly practiced and reinforced. By the time the teacher identifies the problem at the next lesson, the errors have already accumulated for a week.

The emergence of AI practice companion systems is primarily aimed at this pain point. Through audio recognition technology, the system can detect pitch errors and rhythmic deviations in students’ practice in real time. Students can immediately know where they have played incorrectly, rather than waiting until a week later. From the perspective of teaching principles, immediate feedback is more conducive to skill acquisition and error correction than delayed feedback — a conclusion that has been repeatedly validated in educational psychology.

More noteworthy is that this immediate feedback effectively extends the teacher’s effective instructional time. The teacher’s professional judgment still dominates the teaching direction and artistic standards, but AI does something that human effort alone cannot accomplish: accompanying students’ daily practice process. The teacher is partially released from the role of “error corrector,” and classroom time can be devoted more to musical interpretation and in-depth guidance.

2.2 Cultivation of Collaborative Performance Ability: From “Lack of Partners” to “Anytime Ensemble”

Collaborative ability is an important competence for piano majors. For teacher-education students in particular, the most essential skills for future classroom teaching are improvising accompaniment and the ability to collaborate with others. However, traditional classrooms have significant shortcomings in this regard: students spend most of their time practicing alone, and opportunities for collaborative performance are scarce—finding a vocal partner is not easy, and experience with orchestral collaboration is something that the vast majority of students never have the chance to

experience during their four years of study.

AI virtual accompaniment technology provides a compensatory solution to this dilemma. Through machine learning, the system can generate accompaniment parts that follow the performer's tempo and dynamics, simulating orchestral concerto or vocal accompaniment scenarios. Students can repeatedly practice concertos in the practice room, experiencing the effect of collaborating with an "orchestra" under different tempo treatments.

It should be noted that AI virtual accompaniment cannot replace real ensemble playing—it cannot provide the unexpected interactions and improvisational adjustments that occur in authentic collaboration. However, its value lies in this: under the realistic condition of scarce ensemble opportunities, it provides a practice partner that can be accessed at any time, helping students establish basic collaborative awareness, train part-listening skills, and accumulate ensemble experience. For piano teaching in higher normal universities, this represents a breakthrough from "none" to "some."

2.3 Enrichment of Teaching Evaluation: From "Single Examination" to "Process-Oriented Assessment"

The evaluation methods of traditional piano teaching are relatively singular, typically taking the form of final examinations or mid-term presentations, with assessment based on students' single performance during the examination. Summative assessments based on a single performance have been widely criticized for failing to capture the full scope of student learning, whereas process-oriented assessments provide a more comprehensive picture of growth over time. This evaluation method has two limitations: first, it is highly variable, as a student's performance on that day directly affects the grade; second, it cannot reflect the learning process, failing to show the student's progress trajectory and long-standing problems.

The practice data recording function provided by AI offers a technical foundation for process-oriented evaluation. Teachers can view students' practice conditions throughout the entire semester—practice duration, frequency, progress curves at various stages, persistent problems, etc. This enables evaluation to no longer rely solely on a single examination, but to be based on comprehensive judgments drawn from long-term data.

For teacher-education students, this evaluation method also carries an additional educational value: when they become primary or secondary school music teachers in the future, they will also need to learn to view students with a developmental perspective. Teacher-education students who have experienced process-oriented assessment during their university years are more likely to bring this concept into their future teaching practice.

2.4 Transformation and Upgrading of the Teacher's Role: From "Comprehensive Coverage" to "Focused Breakthroughs"

The workload of traditional piano teachers is considerable. A

45-minute lesson often requires spending considerable time correcting wrong notes and rhythm errors, leaving limited time for musical interpretation, structural analysis, and artistic guidance.

Once AI takes on basic error-correction functions, the teacher's time allocation can undergo structural change. Classroom time no longer needs to be spent from beginning to end listening for whether students have played wrong notes—these can be addressed by AI during the students' practice sessions. Teachers can concentrate their efforts on those things that "only a human can do": demonstrating tone production, analyzing musical structure, guiding students to understand the emotional connotations of the music, and inspiring personalized interpretative approaches.

The essence of this change is to allow teachers to return to the most central and valuable role in piano teaching: that of aesthetic guide.

3. Prospects for Integrating AI into Piano Classrooms

3.1 Building a Complete Teaching Loop of "Pre-Class—In-Class—Post-Class"

In the foreseeable future, AI is expected to help piano teaching form a complete loop: pre-class, students complete technical preparation with the help of AI and arrive in class with questions; in-class, teachers provide targeted guidance and artistic enhancement based on AI feedback data and in-class listening; post-class, students practice with AI assistance according to the teacher's requirements, generating practice data that serves as teaching reference for the next lesson.

The key significance of this loop lies in the fact that teaching and learning are no longer discrete points (weekly lessons) but a continuous process. The teacher's understanding of students no longer relies on single observations but on continuous tracking based on long-term data. Teaching, learning, and practice are truly integrated.

3.2 How AI Extends the Teacher's Personal Teaching Style

In traditional classrooms, the teacher's instructional influence is essentially limited to the 45 minutes of the lesson. The guidance and advice students receive in class, once they leave the practice room and begin practicing alone, can only be recalled via notes and memory. Memory fades, and notes cannot fully reproduce the teacher's tone and emphasis at the time.

Consider an example. A teacher, while teaching Chopin's Ballades, notices that a student's tone production in a certain passage is too "straight" and lacks direction. This teacher has his own habitual phrasing—he does not use the conventional terms "crescendo" or "decrescendo," but instead says, "The sound should move toward the end of the phrase; at the highest note, don't push, but let it fall with restraint." After the system records this statement and its corresponding position in the score, when the same student studies another Chopin

work and encounters a structurally similar passage, the AI will invoke the teacher's vocal style at a comparable position, generating a reminder: "Notice, the phrase direction here is very similar to that place in the Ballade you studied earlier; the sound should move toward the end of the phrase, and at the high point, let it fall with restraint." What the student hears is a reminder delivered in the familiar phrasing of their own teacher, not a generic instruction from the system.

Every experienced piano teacher has their own habitual teaching language. On the issue of touch and tone, some teachers prefer using physical sensations, repeatedly reminding students to "grip the keys with fingertips" and "sink the weight to the bottom of the keys"; others are accustomed to using imagery, often saying, "The sound should seem to emerge from felt," or "Here it's not striking down, but pressing in." Both expressions point to the same technical issue, yet the language styles are entirely different. Students who follow a teacher for a long time have already established an understanding of that teacher's phrasing.

What AI learns is precisely this habitual phrasing. When the system recognizes that the teacher has used similar descriptive language for similar touch issues across multiple works, it can use the same descriptive logic to remind students when they encounter technically analogous passages in other pieces. The reminders students hear during after-class practice are not rigid technical instructions issued by the system, but carry the teacher's characteristic language—as if a certain statement from the classroom has been extended into practice. In this way, the teacher's teaching style gains a longer duration of influence through AI, rather than being activated only during weekly lessons.

The essence of this application is to extend the teacher's established personalized teaching language and pedagogical judgments from the 45-minute classroom to the student's daily practice. Different students receive different reminders from AI—because they come from their respective teachers, and each teacher's expressive habits and pedagogical emphases are personalized. What AI does here is not to use a standardized language to remind all students, but to learn each teacher's "teaching grammar," making after-class guidance as close as possible to the effect of the teacher being present.

4. Implementation Pathways for Integrating AI into Piano Classrooms

4.1 Basic Hardware Configuration

The entry of AI into the classroom first confronts the issue of hardware. Currently, most practice rooms are not equipped with smart devices, and students still use traditional pianos for practice. For AI to function effectively, practice rooms need to be equipped with basic audio acquisition devices and terminal display equipment—a microphone to capture performance sound, and a tablet or monitor to present feedback information. This does not require high-standard renovation of every practice room, but at minimum, this basic configuration needs to be gradually popularized in daily teaching practice rooms.

For normal universities, pilot programs can begin with

teaching practice rooms. Select a few frequently used practice rooms, install equipment and test operations, and gradually expand after teachers and students become familiar with the procedures. Hardware investment does not need to be completed all at once; it can be carried out in batches, first addressing the question of "whether it exists or not," and then the question of "whether it is good or better."

4.2 Categorized Adaptation of Teaching Content

Not all piano teaching content is suitable for AI processing. A basic classification is necessary. Content suitable for AI intervention includes technical training with clear right/wrong criteria: pitch accuracy, rhythmic accuracy, basic tempo control, fingering standards, etc. The characteristic of these contents is that they have "standard answers," and AI can provide clear feedback after detection.

Content not suitable for AI intervention involves aesthetic judgment and personalized expression: quality of tone production, appropriateness of phrasing, stylistic grasp, persuasiveness of personal interpretation, etc. These contents have no single correct answer and require the teacher's aesthetic judgment and interactive dialogue between teacher and student.

During implementation, schools or teaching and research sections can organize teachers to review the syllabus content, identifying which parts can be assisted by AI and which must be led by the teacher. This list can serve as a reference for teachers when using AI tools, preventing technology from being applied to inappropriate areas.

4.3 Guiding Students' Usage Habits

Students also require guidance. If AI practice tools are used improperly, students may develop the habit of "playing while staring at the screen"—their eyes fixated on feedback prompts while their ears fail to actively participate. When introducing AI tools, teachers need to clearly communicate from the outset: AI is an aid, not a substitute for your own auditory judgment. During practice, listen first and judge for yourself, then use AI feedback to verify and supplement. If this usage habit is not established from the beginning, it will be difficult to correct later.

At the same time, teachers also need to periodically check the degree of students' dependence on AI feedback. If it is found that students cannot independently judge correctness without AI assistance, the usage method should be adjusted promptly—for example, by arranging a certain proportion of practice time free from AI, or requiring students to conduct self-assessment before using AI.

5. Conclusion

The entry of AI into piano classrooms has shifted the core question from "whether it is usable" to "how it should be positioned." Focusing on this proposition, this paper has explored the specific optimizing effects of AI on traditional piano teaching from such dimensions as after-class practice feedback, individualized training plans, collaborative performance conditions, and teacher role division. These

optimizations do not replace teachers' professional judgment with technology, but rather bring those teaching aspects that teachers cannot consistently cover within the scope of technical support—immediate feedback fills the feedback void in after-class practice; the recording and analysis of practice data provide a basis for teachers to formulate individualized plans; and virtual accompaniment expands students' collaborative training scenarios under conditions of scarce ensemble resources.

For piano education in higher normal universities, the significance of integrating AI into the classroom lies not only in improving teaching efficiency, but also in prompting teachers to consciously examine their own teaching practices. When technology undertakes repetitive error-correction tasks, teachers can devote more energy to those irreplaceable teaching activities—understanding and interpreting works, inspiring and guiding students' musical sensitivity, and exploring and shaping personal performance styles. This pedagogical reflection triggered by technological intervention is itself a deepening of teacher professionalism.

References

- [1] Schmidt, R. A., & Lee, T. D. (2011). Motor control and learning: A behavioral emphasis (5th ed.). Human Kinetics.
- [2] Shulman, L. S. (1987). Knowledge and teaching: Foundations of the new reform. *Harvard Educational Review*, 57(1), 1–22.
- [3] Linn, R. L., & Gronlund, N. E. (2000). Measurement and Assessment in Teaching (8th ed., p. 48). Merrill.