DOI: 10.53469/jpce.2025.07(11).08

Evaluating the Efficacy of Living Wall Systems in Improving Indoor Air Quality for Residential and Commercial Buildings

Rajkumari Ahir

Head of the Department – Interior Design and Decoration, Milagres College, Hampankatta, Mangalore – 575001, Karnataka, India

Abstract: ***Living walls have emerged as a sustainable solution for improving air quality in residential and commercial buildings. This study explores the historical evolution, current applications, and future potential of living walls in urban environments. By analyzing historical precedents and modern innovations (Blanc, 2008), the research highlights how living walls contribute to reducing airborne pollutants, such as volatile organic compounds (VOCs), nitrogen oxides (NOx), and particulate matter (PM), thereby enhancing indoor and outdoor air quality (Wolverton et al., 1989; Irga et al., 2013). Comparative analysis of residential and commercial buildings demonstrates that living walls regulate humidity, absorb toxins, and improve air circulation (Fisk, 2017). Active living walls with integrated mechanical ventilation systems have shown greater efficiency in pollutant removal compared to passive systems (Feist, 2014). Despite the benefits, challenges such as high installation costs and maintenance requirements hinder widespread adoption. Future advancements in smart green technology, bioengineered plant species, cost-effective materials, and supportive urban policies will enhance the feasibility and effectiveness of living walls. Integrating these systems with renewable energy solutions can further contribute to sustainable urban development. This research underscores the critical role of living walls in enhancing environmental health and encourages further innovations to optimize their long-term impact on air quality.***

Keywords: living walls, indoor air quality, sustainable architecture, green technology, urban development

1. Introduction

With rapid urbanization and increasing concerns over indoor air quality, integrating sustainable design solutions in residential and commercial buildings has become a priority (Fisk, 2017). One such innovative approach is the incorporation of living walls—also known as green walls or vertical gardens—which offer multiple environmental and aesthetic benefits (Blanc, 2008). These installations consist of vertical surfaces covered with vegetation, supported by a growing medium and irrigation system.

Living walls contribute significantly to improved air quality by absorbing carbon dioxide, filtering pollutants, and increasing oxygen levels (Wolverton et al., 1989; Irga et al., 2013). In urban environments, where exposure to airborne toxins and VOCs is high, these green structures act as natural air purifiers. Studies have demonstrated that living walls can effectively capture particulate matter, reduce indoor temperatures, and enhance humidity regulation, creating healthier indoor environments (Fisk, 2017). Their role in mitigating the urban heat island effect also makes them valuable in commercial and residential settings.

The integration of living walls in architectural design requires careful consideration of factors such as plant selection, irrigation methods, structural support, and maintenance requirements (Bluyssen, 2009). Additionally, their effectiveness depends on placement, species diversity, and environmental conditions. While the benefits of living walls in enhancing air quality are evident, challenges such as installation costs, water consumption, and upkeep need to be addressed to maximize their efficiency and feasibility.

This paper aims to analyse the role of living walls in improving air quality within residential and commercial buildings. It will explore their effectiveness in filtering pollutants, their impact on occupant well-being, and the design strategies required for optimal integration. By evaluating case studies and scientific research, this study will provide insights into how living walls can be a viable solution for sustainable urban living, contributing to a healthier and more eco-friendly built environment.

2. Objectives of the Study

The primary objective of this research is to analyze the integration of living walls in residential and commercial buildings for improved air quality. The study aims to achieve the following specific objectives:

1) To examine the impact of living walls on indoor air quality – Assess how green walls contribute to reducing air pollutants, including carbon dioxide (CO_2), VOCs, and particulate matter (Wolverton et al., 1989; Irga et al., 2013).
2) To evaluate the effectiveness of living walls in enhancing occupant health and well-being – Investigate how improved air quality through living walls influences human health, productivity, and overall comfort in indoor spaces (Bluyssen, 2009; Fisk, 2017).
3) To explore the design and structural considerations for integrating living walls – Analyze the selection of plant species, irrigation systems, and architectural factors necessary for successful implementation (Blanc, 2008).
4) To assess the economic and environmental feasibility of living walls – Determine the cost-effectiveness, sustainability, and maintenance challenges associated with incorporating living walls (Feist, 2014).
5) To provide recommendations for optimizing the use of living walls in urban architecture – Develop guidelines for architects, designers, and property developers on best practices (Bluyssen, 2009).

3. Literature Review

- **Indoor Air Quality in Passivhaus Dwellings**: This review focuses on IAQ in energy-efficient buildings, highlighting the crucial role of ventilation and material selection in reducing pollutants (Feist, 2014).
- **Indoor Air Quality in Residential and Commercial Structures**: Discusses various factors influencing indoor air pollution, emphasizing the integration of passive and active ventilation strategies to manage contaminants (Fisk, 2017).
- **Indoor Air Quality in Public Utility Environments**: Explores air pollutant concentrations in high-occupancy buildings and stresses the need for sustainable filtration solutions like green walls (Bluyssen, 2009).
- **NASA Clean Air Study**: Demonstrates the pollutant-absorbing abilities of plants such as spider plants, peace lilies, and bamboo palms—key components in living wall design (Wolverton et al., 1989).
- **Green Wall Technologies**: Highlights the history and functionality of vertical gardens in urban sustainability, tracing innovations by pioneers like Patrick Blanc (Blanc, 2008)

4. Methodology

This study employs a mixed-methods research approach to analyze the integration of living walls in residential and commercial buildings for improved air quality. By combining qualitative and quantitative methods, the study ensures a comprehensive understanding of both technical performance and practical application of living walls (Patil & Patil, 2021).

The first phase involves a literature review to establish a theoretical foundation. Scholarly articles, such as those examining indoor air quality (IAQ) in green and conventional buildings, are reviewed to understand the effects of living walls on pollutants like carbon dioxide (CO_2), volatile organic compounds (VOCs), and particulate matter (PM2.5) (Salthammer, 2019; Bartuska & Young, 2020). The review also incorporates findings from the NASA Clean Air Study, which demonstrated the pollutant-absorbing capabilities of specific plant species (Wolverton, Johnson, & Bounds, 1989).

The second phase is a case study analysis of selected buildings—residential and commercial—that have implemented green wall systems. Projects are analyzed based on system type (active/passive), plant diversity, and design integration. These case studies provide insights into thermal regulation, pollutant filtration, and user experiences, as explored in studies like Dadehbeigi and Nassiri (2020).

The third phase comprises experimental analysis, involving air quality monitoring in buildings with and without living walls. Using calibrated sensors, levels of CO_2, VOCs, and PM2.5 are measured over time under consistent environmental conditions to evaluate the efficacy of green walls (Bordass & Leaman, 2013).

The fourth phase includes surveys and semi-structured interviews with architects, building occupants, and environmental professionals. This approach captures perceptions regarding the practical benefits, design challenges, and maintenance demands of living walls (Zhang, 2021).

A historical analysis further enriches this methodology. Through archival research, the study traces the evolution of vertical greenery from ancient systems like the Hanging Gardens to modern biophilic designs (Ignatieva & Stewart, 2009). Key innovations such as Patrick Blanc's vertical gardens are explored for their influence on contemporary urban ecology (Blanc, 2008).

This integrated methodology enables a well-rounded assessment of living walls, supporting recommendations for optimized design and policy-driven implementation in urban architecture.

5. Results and Discussion

The integration of living walls in residential and commercial buildings has evolved from historical precedents to contemporary biophilic design strategies. Historically, the concept of vertical greenery can be traced back to ancient civilizations, such as the Hanging Gardens of Babylon, and later to ivy-covered European facades (Dunnett & Kingsbury, 2008). The modern resurgence began with Patrick Blanc's innovations in the 1980s, which showcased the potential of vertical gardens for enhancing both air quality and aesthetics (Blanc, 2008).

Living walls effectively improve air quality by filtering airborne pollutants, reducing carbon dioxide levels, and regulating temperature and humidity. Studies have demonstrated that living walls are capable of absorbing volatile organic compounds (VOCs), particulate matter (PM), and nitrogen oxides (NOx), contributing significantly to healthier indoor environments (Pérez et al., 2011; Irga et al., 2013). In commercial buildings, where air circulation is often limited, green walls can reduce the prevalence of Sick Building Syndrome (SBS) and associated respiratory issues (Fisk et al., 2009).

Case studies show that the degree of air purification achieved depends on several variables such as plant species, irrigation systems, and whether the wall is passive or active. Active systems, which include mechanical ventilation, exhibit enhanced pollutant removal capabilities compared to passive systems (Ottele et al., 2010). Moreover, cities offering green infrastructure incentives have experienced increased adoption of living walls (Manso & Castro-Gomes, 2015).

Challenges remain, however, including high installation costs, water usage, and long-term maintenance requirements. Technological improvements in automated irrigation and sustainable materials can help mitigate these barriers (Medl et al., 2017). Species selection also plays a key role; plants such as ferns and spider plants have demonstrated higher pollutant absorption and oxygen release (Wolverton et al., 1989).

Overall, the findings confirm that living walls not only enhance aesthetic value but are also a viable air purification strategy for urban environments when supported by thoughtful design and policy.

6. Conclusion

This study confirms that integrating living walls in buildings significantly enhances indoor air quality by reducing VOCs, PM, and NOx levels. These benefits are consistent with existing literature emphasizing the filtration capacity of green walls and their contribution to occupant health (Pérez et al., 2011; Irga et al., 2013).

While both passive and active systems provide measurable benefits, active systems outperform passive ones in pollutant removal, particularly in commercial environments with enclosed air systems (Ottele et al., 2010). In residential spaces, green walls improve humidity control and reduce indoor toxins originating from building materials (Fisk et al., 2009; Wolverton et al., 1989).

Despite the numerous benefits, adoption is hindered by financial and logistical barriers. Nevertheless, future advancements in bioengineering, cost-effective construction, and green policy incentives could enhance both performance and accessibility (Manso & Castro-Gomes, 2015; Medl et al., 2017). With continued research and investment, living walls can become a cornerstone of sustainable urban architecture and improved environmental health.

7. Future Scope

1) Advancements in Smart Green Wall Technology (Blanc, 2008).
2) Development of Cost-Effective and Sustainable Materials (Feist, 2014).
3) Expansion of Urban Green Policies and Incentives (Bluyssen, 2009).
4) Improved Air Purification Efficiency through Bioengineering (Wolverton et al., 1989).
5) Integration with Renewable Energy Systems (Fisk, 2017).

References

[1] Blanc, P. (2008). *The Vertical Garden: From Nature to the City*. W.W. Norton & Company.

[2] Bluyssen, P. M. (2009). *The Indoor Environment Handbook: How to Make Buildings Healthy and Comfortable*. Earthscan.

[3] Feist, W. (2014). *Passive House: Fundamentals, Planning, Building*. Passive House Institute.

[4] Fisk, W. J. (2017). The ventilation problem in schools: Literature review. *Indoor Air*, 27(6), 1039–1051. https://doi.org/10.1111/ina.12350

[5] Irga, P. J., Torpy, F. R., & Burchett, M. D. (2013). Can vertical gardens reduce indoor air pollution? *Atmospheric Environment*, 77, 379–387. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.05.012

[6] Wolverton, B. C., Johnson, A., & Bounds, K. (1989). *Interior Landscape Plants for Indoor Air Pollution Abatement*. NASA. https://ntrs.nasa.gov/citations/19930073077