DOI: 10.53469/jpce.2025.07(08).05

The Role of 3D Geological Modeling in Advanced Ore Body Optimization

Ria Chopra Gupta

Assistant Professor, Department of Applied Geology, Dr. Harisingh Gour Vishwavidyalaya, Sagar, Madhya Pradesh India-470003
riachopra@gmail.com

Abstract: ***The importance of three-dimensional (3D) geological modeling for understanding ore deposits is very high, especially in today's complicated mine planning environment. As mineral resources are getting scarcer and mining operations face more environmental scrutiny, the accuracy provided by 3D modeling becomes not just useful, but an essential requirement for effective and responsible resource extraction. This method improves our ability to see complex geological formations and gives a better understanding of how mineral deposits are spatially related. Such accuracy is vital for making informed decisions, as it encourages stakeholders to reconsider assumptions about ore availability and geological conditions. By bringing together various data sources - such as geological surveys, drilling information, and geophysical studies - 3D models enable a careful examination of ore distribution and quality, leading to a critical assessment of resource feasibility. Additionally, these models help evaluate risks related to geotechnical stability and environmental impact, promoting more sustainable mining practices through careful consideration of potential outcomes. This essay will outline the methods used in 3D geological modeling, spotlighting their effects on ore deposit understanding and the strategic planning needed for future mining projects.***

Keywords: 3D geological modeling, ore deposits, mine planning, resource extraction, sustainable mining

Keywords:

1. Introduction

In the field of ore deposit study, using 3D geological modeling has changed the way we approach mine planning and efficiency. It is important to look at the drawbacks of older methods that depend on 2D cross-sections and separate geological interpretations, which often fail to accurately show complex mineralized systems, especially in deposits with detailed geological features (Pontow et al., 2019). On the other hand, 3D modeling offers a strong way to visualize spatial connections in the orebody, helping to better understand its geological structure and the related geochemical risks (Yasrebi et al., 2015) (Dent J et al., 2016). This modern technique not only combines various datasets but also promotes deeper analysis by using geostatistical methods and drilling data, which are essential for identifying mineralization trends and improving resource estimates (Houlding S W, 1994) (Liu Z et al., 2023). Additionally, the chance to model different mining scenarios and evaluate possible results is crucial for creating mining plans that are both cost-effective and environmentally sound, keeping with the industry's increasing focus on sustainability (Cao X et al., 2024) (Roy I et al., 2000). Therefore, the development of 3D geological modeling is a key improvement in mineral resource management and mine planning, leading to more investigation into its long-term effects on both the industry and the environment.

Definition and Importance of 3D Geological Modeling

3D geological modeling acts as a detailed analysis tool that boosts our grasp of ore deposit shapes, their make-up, and possible extraction ways, but it is important to look closely at its drawbacks too. This method combines various geological data sets, making it easier to see subsurface features and their connections within a clear, three-dimensional view. This wide-ranging view helps in spotting key insights into complex mineralization and geological differences, which are especially vital for mines targeting complex deposits. For example, using 3D modeling to assess a high-grade copper/zinc massive sulfide ore deposit has been extremely helpful, particularly when taking into account the structural and mineralogical complexities present at the site (Houlding S W, 1994). However, we must think about the reliability of the data inputs and the assumptions made during the model's creation, as errors could lead to poor decisions. Additionally, improvements in this technology, like including geochemical risk assessments and environmentally aware management methods, have expanded its use beyond just estimating resources, tackling sustainability issues related to mining operations (Dent J et al., 2016). By carefully considering these factors, we find that 3D geological modeling is not only essential for effective mine planning and resource evaluation (Pontow et al., 2019) (Ayisi et al., 2015), but also a tool needing continuous examination to guarantee its effectiveness and dependability in the changing mining landscape.

Overview of Ore Deposit Characterization and Mine Planning

The way we characterize ore deposits and plan mines has changed a lot due to improvements in 3D geological modeling, which greatly improves accuracy and efficiency in evaluating resources. Old methods usually depended on 2D geological cross-sections and drill core analysis, which often fell short for deposits with complex structures and mineral compositions (Cao X et al., 2024). This brings up an important question: how do we prevent the shortcomings of old techniques from causing mistakes in resource estimation? Modern approaches that use 3D modeling not only give a clearer view of geological features and mineral distributions that affect mining strategies (Yu H et al., 2024), but they also push us to reconsider the basic assumptions of these models. For example, by combining data from various sources and utilizing deterministic modeling, we can create detailed visualizations and evaluations of mineralization, as seen with the Black Sea coast deposit (Houlding S W, 1994). However, it is important to recognize the possible differences in data quality and representation during this process. Additionally, using software like Petrel for assessing geochemical risks related to acid rock drainage has become essential in improving mine planning strategies, leading us to examine its effectiveness and the assumptions behind its predictions to ensure sustainable operations (Dent J et al., 2016). Therefore, the use of geostatistical methods within 3D frameworks greatly aids in assessing the feasibility of mining projects (Afeni et al., 2020) (Kauti et al., 2019), but it is vital to continuously review both methods and results to reduce risks and optimize resource potential.

Fundamentals of 3D Geological Modeling

The basics of 3D geological modeling are important for understanding ore deposits and helping with mine planning, but it is key to carefully look at the data and methods used in this process. By combining geological, geochemical, and geophysical data, 3D models give a detailed view of a mineral deposit's spatial complexity, which is vital for evaluating its economic potential. For example, earlier assessments of deposits often depended only on traditional block modeling, which may have missed significant geological details; in contrast, 3D vector-based volume modeling provides better accuracy and visualization of mineral and structural characteristics, as shown in research on a high-grade copper/zinc massive sulfide deposit in Turkey (Dent J et al., 2016). It is necessary to ask if the current methods capture all important geological aspects or if new techniques could produce even more dependable results. Also, using 3D geological modeling software like Petrel improves the ability to visualize geochemical risks, such as acid rock drainage, by combining multiple datasets, showing its wider importance in environmental management (Yuan J et al., 2024). This raises the question of how well these models can guide risk reduction efforts. Therefore, 3D geological modeling acts as an essential tool in both ore deposit evaluation and improving mining operations, tackling challenges from geological complexity (Houlding S W, 1994) and economic factors (Pontow et al., 2019) (Ayisi et al., 2015), while also encouraging continuous examination to ensure that these models accurately represent real-world situations.

Techniques and Technologies Used in 3D Modeling

In the field of 3D geological modeling, many techniques and technologies come together, each having distinct benefits that improve how we understand ore deposits and aid mine planning. It is important to assess how combining traditional block modeling with advanced vector-based volume modeling not only addresses the geological complexities found in mineral deposits but also raises doubts about the assumptions behind these models, due to the varying structural and mineral characteristics they must depict (Houlding S W, 1994). Moreover, the use of 3D modeling software like Petrel shows important progress in handling geochemical risks linked to mining operations and closure phases, while also encouraging a review of the dependability of software results in different geological situations (Dent J et al., 2016). Recent research highlights the use of kernel principal component analysis (KPCA) and maximum-entropy modeling to predict mineral resource viability and geochemical risk profiles, which calls into question the reliability of these predictive models in practical scenarios (Yuan J et al., 2024) (Yu H et al., 2024). These advanced methods, along with the practical use of digital elevation models and machine learning techniques, highlight the potential changes that 3D geological modeling can bring to resource assessment and environmental management. However, it is vital to think about how these advancements can support more sustainable mining practices and address the ethical issues surrounding resource extraction and its effect on local ecosystems (Tao Z et al., 2021) (Roy I et al., 2000).

Data Sources and Integration for Accurate Modeling

Modeling in 3D geological frameworks accurately needs various data sources and their good integration to form realistic views of ore deposits. The complicated nature of mineral deposits, which frequently show a lot of geological and mineralogical differences, requires careful combining of geological data, geostatistical analysis, and geochemical evaluations. For example, (Cao X et al., 2024) points out the importance of mixing traditional block modeling with vector-based methods for better descriptions of complex deposits. Also, (Yu H et al., 2024) stresses using 3D geological modeling software to manage geochemical risks linked to mining activities. New developments, like those in (Houlding S W, 1994), show how machine learning and AI can improve these modeling methods by mixing different data sources for better accuracy. Additionally, the techniques mentioned by (Dent J et al., 2016) illustrate how modeling software can clarify the changing behaviors of mineralization systems, thus aiding mine planning while lowering economic risks in resource extraction. In short, the ongoing improvement of modeling methods shows the vital need for integrated data approaches to enhance the accuracy of 3D geological models for ore deposit study and mine planning. (Kauti et al., 2019) (Bellefleur et al., 2012)

Applications in Ore Deposit Characterization

The use of 3D geological modeling in studying ore deposits is very important for better mine planning and improving resource assessment since it gives important insights beyond simple visual images. By combining detailed geological and spatial data using advanced modeling methods, mining engineers can see where minerals are located and understand structural issues more clearly. They can also analyze the limits and assumptions in their models carefully. This analysis is needed because it can reveal problems that might come from different data quality or geological interpretations. This method has been useful in defining orebody edges and figuring out grades and tonnages, as shown in case studies of different mineral types, such as copper/zinc massive sulfide deposits (Houlding S W, 1994). Additionally, using tools like Petrel has broadened its application to geo-environmental evaluations, especially for dealing with geochemical risks linked to acid rock drainage (Dent J et al., 2016). This flexibility not only aids in economic feasibility studies but also provides important information for planning sustainable mine closures, as the models can account for environmental factors and water flow effects over time (Cao X et al., 2024). In summary, combining these approaches greatly improves the efficiency and safety of mineral extraction, but it is crucial to stay alert about the uncertainties and issues within these models to ensure they accurately mirror the complexities of real-world situations (Yu H et al., 2024), (Liu Z et al., 2023).

Enhancing Resource Estimation and Classification

The way we estimate and classify resources has gotten better due to 3D geological modeling, which shows complex ore deposits more accurately. However, we should carefully think about whether this new technology really fixes the problems of older methods. Traditional techniques often have a hard time with the detailed geological and mineral differences in deposits, which can cause errors in resource evaluations. The usefulness of 3D modeling needs to be looked at in different situations and geological environments. One notable project showed how using vector-based volume models along with raster-based grid models helps manage geological complexities for better grade and tonnage assessments (Houlding, 1994). Yet, we must consider possible biases that the models may bring and ask if the data input, along with the assumptions made during modeling, might affect the results. Moreover, using 3D modeling tools like Petrel has improved the understanding of mineral deposits, helping with mine planning by showing geochemical risks and variation in layers (Dent J et al., 2016). It is important to analyze the assumptions behind these visuals to make sure they don't just reflect the software's features. Improved geostatistical methods provide better analysis of mineral distributions, increasing accuracy in estimates and offering valuable insights into the feasibility of mining (Cao X et al., 2024). Combining these advanced modeling techniques can lead to better decision-making and resource management in mining, as long as the analytical methods are carefully reviewed to ensure their reliability and relevance in real life.

Identifying Geological Structures and Mineralization Patterns

Finding geological structures and mineralization patterns is important for making 3D geological models. This helps in understanding ore deposits and guides mine planning. Knowing geological control well, especially in complicated areas where factors may depend on each other, improves the accuracy of volume assessments and ore grade predictions. It is necessary to look closely at the limits and possible biases of different modeling methods. For example, combining vector models of geological features with raster grids of ore grades can reveal complex links between structural issues and mineralization areas, as seen in various deposits with both structural and mineralogical differences (Dent J et al., 2016). However, one should think about how data resolution and accuracy affect these models. Also, using modern geochemical modeling software, like Petrel, helps in showing risks of acid rock drainage and improving resource management strategies in mining projects (Roy I et al., 2000). This approach not only boosts the accuracy of resource evaluations but also supports effective and sustainable mining practices by aligning geological knowledge with economic and regulatory factors, thus providing a better framework for mining decisions (Houlding S W, 1994) (Heinonen et al., 2013) (Yasrebi et al., 2015).

Role in Mine Planning and Design

The role of 3D geological modeling in mine planning and design is very important, greatly increasing the ability to see and analyze where ore deposits are located in detail. This process needs careful thinking and effective understanding of complex deposits, especially those with complicated structures and mineral types. It is important to combine vector-based models for geology with raster-based methods for grading ore, as this combination greatly enhances accuracy in measuring volumes, which is critical for economic viability (Houlding S W, 1994). Additionally, using advanced 3D modeling software like Petrel not only helps in assessing geochemical risks but also encourages a review of ways to improve resource management strategies regarding acid rock drainage and metal leaching (Dent J et al., 2016). This new approach supports flexible modeling that connects mining activities with both environmental issues and operational efficiency, clearly showing its value across different mining projects (Cao X et al., 2024). Such progress highlights the growing dependence on data-driven methods in mine planning, pointing out the importance of precise geological models in making economic choices while also tackling geological risks (Yu H et al., 2024). Furthermore, ongoing studies and updates keep refining modeling techniques, making sure they can adapt to the changing needs of the industry (Liu Z et al., 2023) and improve overall mining viability (Yuan J et al., 2024). Ultimately, including geostatistical analyses not only enhances these models but also builds a strong understanding of ore locations, helping to advance mining engineering (Sahoo MM et al., 2017). This shows the need for a careful review of methods to ensure they meet the practical requirements of modern mining operations.

Optimizing Mine Layout and Operations

Improving mine layout and operations is very important for making money and working well, especially in complicated geological settings where changes can greatly affect results. A careful and detailed method that includes 3D geological modeling greatly helps in understanding ore deposits better and allows for more accurate estimates of grades and amounts. This process highlights the importance of identifying and studying the natural mineral variations in deposits to prevent assumptions that might cause expensive errors (Houlding S W, 1994). By using advanced modeling software like Petrel, mine planners can see risks of acid rock drainage alongside geological data, which helps in making better choices about where to put waste and how to extract resources. It is important to examine how these visuals match real-world situations to lessen possible risks (Dent J et al., 2016). Additionally, using geotechnical data is essential, as it helps create good underground mining designs that improve safety and also lower operational costs by better managing resource use (Yu H et al., 2024). The case study of a copper/zinc massive sulfide ore deposit in Turkey shows how a clear understanding of geological challenges supports sustainable mining practices and maximizes resource use, emphasizing the need for critical thought in this area (Houlding S W, 1994). Thus, using 3D geological modeling regularly is key in improving mine layout and operations, ensuring careful management of both geochemical risks and project viability in a structured and analytical way.

Risk Assessment and Mitigation Strategies

Risk assessment and strategies for reducing risks are very important for using 3D geological modeling in ore deposit study and mine planning. These strategies make sure that possible dangers, like acid rock drainage (ARD) and geological instability, are properly found and handled throughout mining operations. Mine planners need to think critically, question their beliefs, look at data fully, and consider different risks. By putting together large data sets into 3D models, mine planners can better see where mineral resources are located while looking at the geochemical risks involved, which helps make environmental risk assessments more accurate (Cao X et al., 2024). Using advanced software like Petrel has helped with ongoing calculations of ARD risks during mine closure and allowed stakeholders to improve waste rock management based on reliable evidence (Yu H et al., 2024). Additionally, including geological and economic factors in risk models helps decision-makers evaluate the complex nature of mineral deposits, which improves project feasibility and resource management ((Houlding S W, 1994), (Dent J et al., 2016)). These detailed assessments highlight the need for careful planning, which is essential for balancing profit with environmental health in mining operations ((Toubri et al., 2022), (MARTELLO et al., 2024)).

2. Conclusion

To sum up, using 3D geological modeling has greatly changed how ore deposits are understood and how mines are planned, greatly improving efficiency and precision in resource assessment. A close look at this change shows that by combining various datasets and using advanced software, mining experts get a better picture of mineralization patterns and can identify and evaluate related geochemical risks. As mentioned earlier, accurate modeling is important due to the complexities found in geological formations; therefore, a complete method should include factors like mineralogical effects and structural features to truly enhance mining strategies (Houlding S W, 1994). This use of 3D models not only helps with planning operations but also is vital in reducing geochemical risks linked to acid rock drainage, which is important due to environmental concerns (Dent J et al., 2016). Moreover, using new methods like Bayesian modeling shows how 3D geological systems can significantly boost exploration activities (Cao X et al., 2024) and highlights the need for continued review to make sure these improvements match the industry's move towards more sustainable practices. Looking forward, it is clear that ongoing improvements in 3D geological modeling will be essential in addressing both upcoming challenges and opportunities (Yu H et al., 2024) (Liu Z et al., 2023).

3. Summary of Key Insights on 3D Geological Modeling

When looking at main points on 3D geological modeling, it is clear this new method really improves the way we understand ore deposits and plan mines. This brings up important issues for the industry. By combining geological details with geochemical risks, 3D models offer critical visuals that help in decision-making during mining, as noted in (Yuan J et al., 2024). However, it is necessary to carefully examine how accurate and trustworthy these models are, given the natural uncertainties and changes in geological data. Accurately showing variations in mineralization over time and space helps not just with resource estimates but also in reducing environmental risks linked to acid rock drainage and metal leaching (Liu Z et al., 2023). This raises the need for further study on how these models affect sustainability in mining. New methods, like using AI and machine learning, have improved the modeling process, significantly enhancing prediction accuracy (Houlding S W, 1994). Still, we should check if depending on these technologies could create new biases or miss crucial details about geological formations. Furthermore, thorough geological evaluations have enabled mining operations to find the best extraction methods, ensuring financial stability while managing geological uncertainties (Dent J et al., 2016), (Cao X et al., 2024), (Yu H et al., 2024). This leads to the question of how flexible these strategies are when faced with market changes and new regulations. Overall, these insights highlight the important role of 3D geological modeling in modern mining, stressing its significance in a quickly changing industry (Kauti et al., 2019), (Bellefleur et al., 2012). Continuous assessment of these aspects will be vital for the sustainable progress of mining activities.

4. Future Trends and Innovations in the Field

As 3D geological modeling keeps changing, it is important to look closely at future trends and new ideas that could improve the understanding of ore deposits and mine planning. A key development is the use of artificial intelligence and machine learning, which help analyze large amounts of data, but also bring up questions about how reliable these predictions are. Although these technologies can provide better predictions for mineral deposits and improve extraction methods, it is essential to evaluate the input data quality and how well the algorithms work in different mining settings. In addition, improvements in sensor technology and automation are making it possible to gather real-time data, which improves modeling by offering fresh insights into geological conditions. However, one should also think about how increased automation may affect workforce dynamics and the need for new skills. The use of augmented reality (AR) and virtual reality (VR) tools is set to change how we visualize complex 3D models. These tools enable users to interact more easily with geological information and test out different mining scenarios, leading us to consider how these immersive technologies might affect decision-making. Overall, these advancements could simplify decision-making in mining operations, but it is crucial to critically review their effects on efficiency, safety, and sustainability in resource extraction before we fully adopt them.

References

[1] Adadzi, Elijah (2013) Stochastic-optimization of equipment productivity in multi-seam formations. doi: https://core.ac.uk/download/229292382.pdf

[2] Afeni, Thomas Busuyi, Akeju, Victor Oluwatosin, Aladejare, Adeyemi Emman (2020) A comparative study of geometric and geostatistical methods for

qualitative reserve estimation of limestone deposit. doi: https://core.ac.uk/download/628854673.pdf

[3] Ayisi, Maurice (2015) 3D Block Modeling and Reserve Estimation of a Garnet Deposit. doi: https://core.ac.uk/download/48315080.pdf

[4] Bellefleur, G., Campbell, Geoff, Durrheim, R., Juhlin, et al. (2012) Seismic methods in mineral exploration and mine planning: A general overview of past and present case histories and a look into the future.. doi: https://core.ac.uk/download/195669327.pdf

[5] Cosma, Calin, Enescu, Nicoleta, Koivisto, Emilia, Komminaho, et al. (2018) Underground Vertical Seismic Profiling with Conventional and Fiber-Optic Systems for Exploration in the Kylylahti Polymetallic Mine, Eastern Finland. doi: https://core.ac.uk/download/224636846.pdf

[6] Han Yu, Ju Wang, Wei Deng, Zenggui Kuang, Tingwei Li, Zhangshu Lei (2024) High-Resolution 3D Geological Modeling of Three-Phase Zone Coexisting Hydrate, Gas, and Brine. Volume(Vol 12, Issue 22), 2171. Journal of Marine Science and Engineering. doi: https://doi.org/10.3390/jmse12122171

[7] Heinonen, Suvi (2013) Seismic reflection profiling for massive sulfide exploration in Finland. doi: https://core.ac.uk/download/16744461.pdf

[8] Indranil Roy, Bhabesh Chandra Sarkar (2000) Orebody Modelling: An Integrated Geological-Geostatistical Approach. Geology and Mineral Resources of Bihar and Jharkhand (pp.170-179)Publisher: IGE, PatnaEditors: IGE Monograph

[9] Jie Yuan, Guangwei Liu, Senlin Chai (2024) 3D geological fine modeling and dynamic updating method of fault slope in open-pit coal mine. Volume(14), 29906. Scientific Reports. doi: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-81872-3

[10] Julia Dent, Tobias Rötting, Martin Williams (2016) 3D Geological Modelling for Geo-Environmental Characterization of Mineral Deposits and Pragmatic Management of Geochemical Risks. Mining Meets Water – Conflicts and Solutions. doi: https://www.imwa.info/docs/imwa_2016/IMWA2016_Dent_108.pdf

[11] Kauti, Tuomas, Koivisto, Emilia, Savolainen, Mikko, Skyttä, et al. (2019) 3D modelling of the dolerite dyke network within the Siilinjärvi phosphate deposit. doi: https://core.ac.uk/download/401691262.pdf

[12] Maitreya Mohan Sahoo, Bhatu Kumar Pal (2017) Geological modelling of a deposit and application using Surpac. Journal of Mines Metals and Fuels.

[13] MARTELLO, RICCARDO (2024) 3D geomechanical modelling of sinkholes formation: investigating geomechanical consequences associated with mining areas in the Silesian Coal Basin, Poland. doi: https://core.ac.uk/download/613214947.pdf

[14] Pontow, Sebastian (2019) Evaluation of methods for stope design in mining and potential of improvement by pre-investigations. doi: https://core.ac.uk/download/237427482.pdf

[15] S. W. Houlding (1994) Ore Deposit Evaluation and Underground Mine Planning. doi: https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-642-79012-6_14

[16] Toubri, Youssef (2022) Integrating multidisciplinary modeling tools to support upstream mine waste management. doi: https://core.ac.uk/download/572728552.pdf

[17] Xiaoqin Cao, Ziming Liu, Chenlin Hu, Xiaolong Song, Jonathan Atuquaye Quaye, Ning Lu (2024) Three-Dimensional Geological Modelling in Earth Science Research: An In-Depth Review and Perspective Analysis. Volume(Vol 14, Issue 686). Minerals. doi: https://doi.org/10.3390/min14070686

[18] Yasrebi, Amir Bijan (2015) Determination of an Ultimate Pit Limit Utilising Fractal Modelling to Optimise NPV. doi: https://core.ac.uk/download/43095846.pdf

[19] Yingxian Chen, Huiru Ma, Zhe Zhu, Jiepeng Fu (2024) Error analysis and visualization of 3D geological models of mineral deposits. Volume(Vol 175, Issue N/A), 106366. Ore Geology Reviews. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oregeorev.2024.106366

[20] Zhankun Liu, Zhenyu Guo, Jinli Wang, Rongchao Wang, Wenfa Shan, Huiting Zhong, Yudong Chen, et al. (2023) Three-Dimensional Mineral Prospectivity Modeling with the Integration of Ore-Forming Computational Simulation in the Xiadian Gold Deposit, Eastern China. Volume(Vol 13, Issue 18), 10277. Applied Sciences. doi: https://doi.org/10.3390/app131810277

[21] Zhongping Tao, Bingli Liu, Ke Guo, Na Guo, Cheng Li, Yao Xia, Yaohua Luo (2021) 3D Primary Geochemical Halo Modeling and Its Application to the Ore Prediction of the Jiama Polymetallic Deposit, Tibet, China. Volume(Volume 2021, Article ID 6629187), 1-13. Geofluids. doi: https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/6629187