DOI: 10.53469/jpce.2025.07(04).08

A Comparative Analysis of Cost Escalation, Quality Degradation in Road Construction

Pratheeksha Satheesh[1], Kamathenu Daimari[2]

Abstract: ***Corruption in public infrastructure projects, particularly road construction, inflates costs, reduces quality, and undermines development. This research paper develops a corruption index by comparing per - kilometer road construction costs across countries, adjusted for Purchasing Power Parity (PPP), and evaluates road quality. Using data from government reports, international organizations, and peer - reviewed studies, the paper identifies significant disparities in costs and quality, and explores the underlying factors, including governance, transparency, and institutional quality. The findings highlight the need for stronger anti - corruption measures and improved accountability in infrastructure projects.***

Keywords: corruption, road construction, infrastructure costs, transparency, governance

1. Introduction

Road construction is a critical component of infrastructure development, facilitating economic growth and social connectivity. However, corruption in this sector remains a significant challenge, leading to inflated costs, delays, and substandard quality. This paper aims to quantify the level of corruption by comparing per - kilometer road construction costs across countries, adjusted for PPP, and evaluating road quality. By analyzing cost and quality disparities and correlating them with governance indicators, the study provides insights into the extent of corruption and its impact on infrastructure development.

2. Methodology

This study employs a comparative analysis of per - kilometer road construction costs and road quality across selected countries, adjusted for PPP. Data sources include:

- **World Bank:** Infrastructure cost databases, governance indicators, and PPP conversion factors.
- **Government Reports:** National infrastructure agencies and audit reports.
- **Peer - Reviewed Studies:** Academic research on corruption in infrastructure.
- **International Road Assessment Programme (iRAP):** Road quality and safety ratings.

The methodology involves:

1) Collecting per - kilometer costs for road construction in selected countries.
2) Adjusting costs using PPP conversion factors to account for differences in price levels.
3) Evaluating road quality using iRAP ratings and other relevant metrics.
4) Developing a **corruption index** based on the ratio of PPP - adjusted costs to road quality.

3. Data and Analysis

3.1 Per - Kilometer Road Construction Costs (PPP - Adjusted):

The following table presents the average per - kilometer costs for road construction in selected countries, adjusted for PPP:

Country	Cost per Kilometer (USD)	PPP Conversion Factor (2022)	PPP - Adjusted Cost (USD)	Source
India	$2.5 million	0.23	$0.58 million	Ministry of Road Transport, 2022
United States	$6.5 million	1	$6.50 million	Federal Highway Administration
Germany	$5.8 million	0.85	$4.93 million	Federal Ministry of Transport
Nigeria	$3.8 million	0.2	$0.76 million	World Bank, 2021
Brazil	$4.2 million	0.35	$1.47 million	National Transport Confederation
China	$1.2 million	0.6	$0.72 million	World Bank, 2020
Sweden	$7.0 million	0.9	$6.30 million	Swedish Transport Administration

3.2 Road Quality Indicators

The table below presents road quality ratings for selected countries:

Country	iRAP Star Rating (2022)	Road Quality Index (RQI)	Source
India	2.5	3.2/10	Ministry of Road Transport, 2022
United States	4	6.8/10	Federal Highway Administration
Germany	4.5	8.2/10	Federal Ministry of Transport
Nigeria	2	2.5/10	World Bank, 2021
Brazil	3	4.5/10	National Transport Confederation
China	3.5	5.8/10	World Bank, 2020
Sweden	4.5	8.5/10	Swedish Transport Administration

3.3 Corruption Index Calculation

The corruption index is calculated using the following formula:

Corruption Index=PPP - Adjusted CostRoad Quality Index

Corruption Index=

Road Quality Index

PPP - Adjusted Cost

The table below presents the corruption index for selected countries:

Country	PPP - Adjusted Cost (USD)	Road Quality Index (RQI)	Corruption Index	Source
India	$0.58 million	3.2/10	0.18	Ministry of Road Transport, 2022
United States	$6.50 million	6.8/10	0.96	Federal Highway Administration
Germany	$4.93 million	8.2/10	0.6	Federal Ministry of Transport
Nigeria	$0.76 million	2.5/10	0.3	World Bank, 2021
Brazil	$1.47 million	4.5/10	0.33	National Transport Confederation
China	$0.72 million	5.8/10	0.12	World Bank, 2020
Sweden	$6.30 million	8.5/10	0.74	Swedish Transport Administration

3.4 Key Findings

1) **Low Corruption Index Countries (e. g., China, India):**
- **China (0.12)** and **India (0.18)** have relatively low corruption indices, suggesting that road construction costs are lower relative to road quality. This could indicate **less corruption** or more efficient project execution.
- However, in the case of India, despite the low corruption index, road quality remains subpar (RQI = 3.2/10), which may point to systemic issues such as underfunding or poor planning rather than corruption.

2) **High Corruption Index Countries (e. g., Nigeria, Brazil):**
- **Nigeria (0.30)** and **Brazil (0.33)** have higher corruption indices, indicating that road construction costs are higher relative to road quality. This suggests **potential corruption** or inefficiencies in project execution.
- For example, Nigeria loses 30 - 40% of infrastructure funds to corruption, as highlighted by the World Bank (2021).

3) **Moderate Corruption Index Countries (e. g., United States, Sweden, Germany):**
- **United States (0.96), Sweden (0.74),** and **Germany (0.60)** have moderate to high corruption indices, reflecting higher costs but also significantly higher road quality. This suggests that higher costs are justified by better governance, transparency, and quality standards.

4. Discussion

4.1 Factors Influencing Costs and Quality:

- **Governance and Transparency:** Countries with strong governance (e. g., Sweden, Germany) exhibit higher costs but better quality and accountability.
- **Corruption:** In countries like Nigeria and Brazil, corruption inflates costs through bribery, kickbacks, and mismanagement, leading to substandard quality.
- **Terrain and Labor Costs:** While these factors influence costs, corruption exacerbates disparities.

4.2 Case Studies

- **India:** The Comptroller and Auditor General (CAG) of India has repeatedly flagged cost overruns and delays in road projects, attributing them to poor planning rather than corruption.
- **Nigeria:** A World Bank report (2021) highlighted that 30 - 40% of infrastructure funds are lost to corruption, leading to inflated costs and incomplete projects.

4.3 Implications for Policy

- **Strengthening Institutions:** Independent oversight bodies and transparent procurement processes can reduce corruption.
- **Technology and Monitoring:** Using GIS, drones, and blockchain can enhance accountability.
- **Public Participation:** Engaging citizens in monitoring projects can deter corrupt practices.

5. Conclusion

This study developed a **corruption index** by comparing PPP - adjusted per - kilometer road construction costs and road quality across countries. The index reveals significant disparities in the efficiency and integrity of road construction projects, with **higher values indicating potential corruption or inefficiencies**. Key findings include:

1) **Low Corruption Index Countries (e. g., China, India):** Countries like **China (0.12)** and **India (0.18)** exhibit low corruption indices, suggesting lower costs relative to road quality. However, in India's case, the poor road quality (RQI = 3.2/10) indicates systemic issues such as underfunding or poor planning rather than corruption.

2) **High Corruption Index Countries (e. g., Nigeria, Brazil):**
Countries like **Nigeria (0.30)** and **Brazil (0.33)** have higher corruption indices, reflecting higher costs relative to road quality. This suggests potential corruption or mismanagement of funds, as evidenced by Nigeria's significant loss of infrastructure funds to corruption.

3) **Moderate Corruption Index Countries (e. g., United States, Sweden, Germany):**
Countries like **United States (0.96), Sweden (0.74),** and **Germany (0.60)** have moderate to high corruption indices, reflecting higher costs but also significantly higher road quality. This indicates that higher costs are justified by better governance, transparency, and quality standards.

6. Implications of the Corruption Index

1) The corruption index serves as a valuable tool for identifying inefficiencies and potential corruption in road construction projects. **Higher index values correlate with potential corruption or mismanagement of funds**, while **lower values indicate more efficient use of resources**.
2) Addressing corruption requires a multi - faceted approach, including:

a) **Strengthening Institutions:** Independent oversight bodies and transparent procurement processes can reduce corruption.
b) **Technology and Monitoring:** Using GIS, drones, and blockchain can enhance accountability and track project progress.
c) **Public Participation:** Engaging citizens in monitoring projects can deter corrupt practices and improve transparency.

7. Policy Recommendations

1) **Targeted Anti - Corruption Measures:** Countries with high corruption indices should prioritize anti - corruption reforms, such as stricter auditing and enforcement of anti - bribery laws.
2) **Capacity Building:** Training programs for public officials and contractors can improve project management and reduce inefficiencies.
3) **International Collaboration:** Developing countries can learn from best practices in low - corruption - index countries like China and Germany to improve governance and infrastructure quality.

References

[1] World Bank. (2021). "Global Infrastructure Costs and Corruption. "
[2] Comptroller and Auditor General (CAG) of India. (2022). Audit Report on Road Construction.
[3] Federal Highway Administration, USA. (2022). Highway Construction Costs.
[4] Swedish Transport Administration. (2022). Road Infrastructure Costs.
[5] Olken, B. A., & Pande, R. (2012). "Corruption in Developing Countries. " *Annual Review of Economics*.
[6] World Bank. (2022). "Purchasing Power Parities and the Real Size of World Economies. "
[7] International Road Assessment Programme (iRAP). (2022). Road Safety Ratings.