

Emotional Exhaustion, Depersonalization, and Personal Accomplishment: Mapping Job Satisfaction in the Indian Higher Education Sector

Brook Shields Busine¹, Charito Ong²

¹Assistant Professor, REVA Business School, REVA University, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India

²Assistant Professor, School of Management Studies, REVA University, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India

Abstract: *The emotional well-being of educators is central to the vitality and effectiveness of higher education institutions. This paper investigates the relationships among emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, personal triumph, and job satisfaction among employees in the Indian higher education sector. A total of 326 academic and administrative staff members were randomly selected from various universities and colleges across India. The Maslach Burnout Inventory has been utilized to assess levels of emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, and personal triumph, while job satisfaction was measured using a standardized scale. Findings indicate that emotional exhaustion had the highest mean, followed by depersonalization and personal triumph. Both emotional exhaustion and depersonalization showed significant negative correlations with job satisfaction, suggesting that higher levels of burnout are associated with lower satisfaction at work. Personal triumph demonstrated a significant positive relationship with job satisfaction, highlighting the value of personal accomplishment in academic roles. Regression analysis confirmed the interconnectedness of these variables within the Indian higher education context. These insights underscore the importance of addressing burnout and fostering personal achievement to enhance job satisfaction and well-being among higher education professionals in India.*

Keywords: Emotional Exhaustion, Depersonalization, Personal Triumph, Job Satisfaction and Higher Education Institutes (HEI's)

1. Introduction

The higher education sector in India is undergoing unprecedented transformation, marked by expanding enrollment, diversification of academic programs, and increasing expectations for research, innovation, and global competitiveness. Faculty and staff in universities and colleges are at the heart of this evolution, shouldering a multitude of responsibilities that extend far beyond traditional teaching roles. They are not only educators but also mentors, researchers, administrators, and community leaders. This multifaceted professional landscape brings with it significant rewards, but also considerable challenges and pressures (Allam, 2007; AlKahtani & Allam, 2013; Asad, 2020).

In such a dynamic and demanding environment, the emotional well-being of higher education professionals becomes a critical concern. The sector's complexity, combined with the rapid pace of change, often results in heavy workloads, tight deadlines, and the need to constantly adapt to new pedagogical technologies and administrative requirements. These factors can contribute to elevated stress levels, which, if not effectively managed, may lead to occupational burnout—a phenomenon that has been widely recognized and studied across professions and countries (Allam, 2007; Saleem, 2015; Ali & Allam, 2016; Allam, 2017; Mustafa & Ismail, 2020).

Burnout, as originally conceptualized by Freudenberger (1974), is a state of physical and emotional depletion resulting from prolonged work-related stress. Maslach (1976) further defined burnout as a "loss of concern for the people with whom one is working," emphasizing its relational and emotional dimensions. Maslach and Jackson (1986) later refined the concept, identifying three core components:

emotional exhaustion (EE), depersonalization (DEP), and reduced personal accomplishment (PA). Emotional exhaustion refers to feelings of being emotionally overextended and drained by one's work. Depersonalization is characterized by a cynical and impersonal attitude toward recipients of one's service, care, or instruction. Personal accomplishment, or the sense of personal triumph, reflects feelings of competence and successful achievement in one's professional role. In the context of Indian higher education, emotional exhaustion often arises from the relentless demands of teaching large classes, managing research output, fulfilling administrative duties, and responding to the diverse needs of students. Faculty and staff may find themselves stretched thin, with little time for reflection or recovery. This depletion of emotional resources can erode their ability to engage constructively with colleagues and students, ultimately diminishing their effectiveness as educators and mentors (Allam, 2017).

Depersonalization, the second dimension of burnout, manifests as emotional distancing and a growing sense of cynicism or indifference toward students, colleagues, or the institution itself. Bakker and Schaufeli (2000) describe depersonalization as the development of negative, cynical attitudes toward the recipients of one's services or toward work in general. In academic settings, this can lead to a breakdown in the quality of interactions, reduced empathy, and a diminished capacity for collaboration. Individuals experiencing depersonalization may become apathetic, unhelpful, and withdrawn, which can have a ripple effect on the broader educational environment (Maslach, Schaufeli, & Leiter, 2001; Kim, Shin, & Umbreit, 2007; Demirel, Bozoglan, & Sahin, 2013).

Reduced personal accomplishment, the third dimension, is equally significant. When educators feel that their efforts are

not yielding meaningful results, or that their professional skills are not being recognized or valued, they may experience a decline in self-efficacy and motivation (Allam, 2002). Kitaoka and Masuda (2013) note that a misalignment between expected and actual achievements can exacerbate this sense of inadequacy, leading to disengagement and a reluctance to take on new challenges. In the long term, a diminished sense of personal accomplishment can undermine morale and contribute to higher rates of turnover and absenteeism.

The interplay between burnout and job satisfaction is well documented in the literature. Job satisfaction, as defined by Maslach (2003), is the state of satisfaction about individuals' feelings toward the job and about how satisfied they are in their job. Locke (1976) similarly describes it as a positive emotional state resulting from an individual's appraisal of their job experiences. In higher education, job satisfaction is closely linked to organizational commitment, productivity, and the overall quality of academic life. Satisfied faculty and staff are more likely to engage in innovative teaching, participate in research, and contribute to the institution's mission (AlKahtani & Allam, 2013; Azzam & Harsono, 2021).

Persistent stress and burnout can erode job satisfaction, leading to disengagement, absenteeism, and reduced performance (Arabaci, 2010; Allam, 2017; Asad, 2020; Kara, 2020). The role of human resource management is crucial in this context. Fair and supportive HR practices—such as empowerment, training, recognition, and workplace safety—are known to enhance engagement and satisfaction, while unfair or inconsistent practices can breed dissatisfaction and resentment (Halkos, 2010; Sattar, Khalil, & Hassan, 2015; Ali & Allam, 2016; Allam, 2017; Asad, 2020; AlKahtani, Khan, & Allam, 2016; Allam, 2019).

It is important to recognize that job satisfaction is not a static or uniform construct; it varies according to the nature of the work, individual expectations, and the broader institutional context. Each profession, and indeed each academic discipline, has its own unique characteristics and challenges. However, the fundamental principle remains: positive feelings about one's work foster satisfaction, engagement, and resilience, while negative experiences can lead to dissatisfaction and burnout. Despite the growing recognition of these issues, there remains a paucity of research focusing specifically on the Indian higher education sector. Much of the existing literature has examined burnout and job satisfaction in other contexts, such as banking, healthcare, or primary and secondary education. Given the unique pressures and opportunities present in Indian universities and colleges, there is a clear need for studies that explore the specific dynamics of emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, personal triumph, and job satisfaction among higher education professionals.

The present study seeks to address this gap by investigating the relationships among these variables in the Indian higher education context. The objectives of the study are threefold: (1) to analyze the levels of emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, personal triumph, and job satisfaction among higher education professionals; (2) to examine the correlations between these dimensions; and (3) to identify the

predictors of job satisfaction among the components of burnout.

2. Literature Review

The interplay between job satisfaction and job burnout has been a focal point of organizational psychology and management research for several decades. Burnout, as conceptualized by Maslach and Jackson (1981), encompasses three primary dimensions: emotional exhaustion (EE), depersonalization (DEP), and reduced personal accomplishment (PA). Job satisfaction, conversely, refers to the degree to which individuals feel positively or negatively about their jobs (Locke, 1976; Maslach, 2003). The relationship between these constructs is complex and reciprocal, with mounting evidence suggesting that burnout can diminish job satisfaction and that low job satisfaction can, in turn, exacerbate burnout (Koeske, Kirk, & Koeske, 1993; Allam, 2007; Arabaci, 2010; Allam & Tyagi, 2010; Lee & Ok, 2012; AlKahtani & Allam, 2013; Anil et al., 2017; Robinson et al., 2019; Simanjuntak et al., 2020).

Early research by Koeske et al. (1993) and Maslach & Jackson (1981) established a strong negative correlation between job burnout and job satisfaction across various professions. Arabaci (2010), in a study of Turkish teachers, found that depersonalization specifically had a significant negative association with job satisfaction, suggesting that as educators become more emotionally detached from their work, their overall sense of fulfillment declines. This finding has been echoed in subsequent research, which consistently demonstrates that all facets of burnout—particularly emotional exhaustion and depersonalization—are inversely related to job satisfaction (Qureshi & Sajjad, 2015; Anil et al., 2017; Kara, 2020). Talachi and Gorji (2013) explored the burnout-job satisfaction nexus among employees in Iran's mining, industrial, and trade sectors, revealing a robust negative relationship between burnout (EE and PA) and job satisfaction. Similarly, Khamisa et al. (2015) conducted a large-scale study among South African nurses, finding that job burnout negatively influenced job satisfaction and general health. These findings are reinforced by research in the banking sector (Arslan et al., 2019), where rewards—a key facet of job satisfaction—were found to be negatively associated with EE and DEP, but positively related to PA, suggesting that organizational support and recognition can buffer the adverse effects of burnout. Within the higher education context, the relationship between burnout and job satisfaction has attracted growing scholarly attention. A study by Watts and Robertson (2011) among UK university staff found that emotional exhaustion and depersonalization were significant predictors of reduced job satisfaction, with personal accomplishment serving as a protective factor. Similarly, a meta-analysis by Sabagh, Hall, and Saroyan (2018) synthesized findings from 53 studies and concluded that burnout is a significant risk factor for low job satisfaction among university faculty, with emotional exhaustion exerting the strongest effect. Research by Sharma and Jyoti (2017) on faculty members in public universities revealed that high levels of emotional exhaustion and depersonalization were associated with lower job satisfaction and increased turnover intentions. The authors emphasized the need for institutional interventions to address workload, role ambiguity, and lack of

support—factors that contribute to burnout and erode job satisfaction.

Emotional exhaustion is widely recognized as the central component of burnout (Maslach & Jackson, 1981; Allam, 2017). It reflects feelings of being emotionally overextended and depleted by one's work. Studies consistently show that emotional exhaustion is negatively correlated with job satisfaction (Kara, 2020; Prajogo, 2019; Simanjuntak et al., 2020). For instance, Qureshi and Sajjad (2015) found that in the Saudi Arabian context, emotional exhaustion had a lower yet still significant impact on job satisfaction and performance, indicating that even moderate levels of exhaustion can undermine professional fulfillment.

Depersonalization involves the development of negative, cynical attitudes toward one's work and the people one serves (Bakker & Schaufeli, 2000). Arabaci (2010) highlighted that depersonalization is a strong predictor of job dissatisfaction among teachers. In higher education, depersonalization can manifest as emotional distancing from students and colleagues, leading to a breakdown in collaborative and supportive academic environments (Maslach, Schaufeli, & Leiter, 2001; Kim, Shin, & Umbreit, 2007; Demirer et al., 2013).

Personal accomplishment, or personal triumph, reflects the degree to which individuals feel competent and successful in their roles. Research suggests that a strong sense of personal accomplishment is positively associated with job satisfaction and can mitigate the negative effects of emotional exhaustion and depersonalization (Allam, 2002; Arslan et al., 2019). Kitaoka and Masuda (2013) argue that a misfit between expected and actual achievement can erode personal accomplishment, leading to disengagement and dissatisfaction.

Recent research has explored factors that may moderate or mediate the relationship between burnout and job satisfaction. For example, organizational support, recognition, and fair HR practices have been shown to buffer the impact of burnout on job satisfaction (Halkos, 2010; Sattar et al., 2015; Ali & Allam, 2016; Allam, 2017; Asad, 2020). Azzam and Harsono (2021) found that a positive organizational climate and supportive leadership can enhance job satisfaction even in high-stress environments. Conversely, unfair HR practices and lack of support exacerbate burnout and reduce satisfaction (AlKahtani, Khan, & Allam, 2016; Allam, 2019). The COVID-19 pandemic has further intensified the challenges faced by higher education professionals. Studies by Mishra, Gupta, and Shree (2022) and Mahapatra and Sharma (2021) found that the rapid shift to online teaching, increased workload, and uncertainty contributed to higher levels of burnout and lower job satisfaction among Indian faculty. These findings underscore the need for robust support systems and well-being initiatives in universities and colleges.

Hypotheses Development

Based on the reviewed literature, the following hypotheses are proposed for the present study:

H1: There is a significant relationship between work experience, number of dependents, emotional exhaustion,

depersonalization, and personal accomplishment among higher education professionals.

H2: Job satisfaction is not a predictor of emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, and personal accomplishment among higher education professionals.

3. Methodology

Sample

The present study surveyed a total of 326 academic and administrative staff members from a diverse range of universities and colleges across India. Participants were selected using a random sampling technique to ensure representation from various regions, institution types, and roles within the higher education sector. The sample included both male and female respondents, and covered a broad spectrum of age groups, marital statuses, educational backgrounds, work experience, and annual income levels. The demographic characteristics of the sample are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1: Demographic Profile of Respondents

Demographic Variable	Category	N	%
Gender	Male	185	57
	Female	141	43
Age	≤ 35 years	146	45
	36–45 years	106	33
	46–55 years	54	17
	> 55 years	20	6.1
Marital Status	Married	229	70
	Unmarried	86	26
	Divorced/Widowed	11	3.4
Number of Dependents	0–2	196	60
	3–4	98	30
	≥ 5	32	9.8
Work Experience	< 5 years	101	31
	5–15 years	132	41
	> 15 years	93	29
Annual Income	INR 4,00,000–7,00,000	139	43
	INR 7,00,001–10,00,000	124	38
	> INR 10,00,000	63	19

Of the total participants, 56.7% (N = 185) were male and 43.3% (N = 141) were female. In terms of age, 44.8% (N = 146) were 35 years old or younger, 32.5% (N = 106) were between 36 and 45 years, 16.6% (N = 54) were between 46 and 55 years, and 6.1% (N = 20) were above 55 years of age. Regarding marital status, 70.2% (N = 229) were married, 26.4% (N = 86) were unmarried, and 3.4% (N = 11) were divorced or widowed. With respect to the number of dependents, 60.1% (N = 196) had up to 2 dependents, 30.1% (N = 98) had 3 to 4 dependents, and 9.8% (N = 32) had 5 or more dependents. In terms of work experience, 31.0% (N = 101) had less than 5 years of experience, 40.5% (N = 132) had 5 to 15 years, and 28.5% (N = 93) had more than 15 years of experience. For annual income, 42.6% (N = 139) reported earning between INR 4,00,000 and INR 7,00,000, 38.0% (N = 124) earned between INR 7,00,001 and INR 10,00,000, and 19.4% (N = 63) earned above INR 10,00,000.

Tools Used

The following instruments were utilized to gather participants' responses in alignment with the study's objectives:

- 1) Emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, and personal accomplishment were assessed using the Job Burnout Inventory developed by Maslach and Jackson (1981). This inventory includes 9 items for emotional exhaustion, 5 items for depersonalization, and 8 items for personal accomplishment, each rated on a 7-point scale ranging from 1 (very mild) to 7 (very strong). The reliability and validity of this scale were confirmed through appropriate statistical analyses.
- 2) Job satisfaction was measured using the scale developed by Singh (1987), which comprises 20 items. Each item is rated on a 5-point Likert scale, from 1 (highly dissatisfied) to 5 (highly satisfied), resulting in a total score range of 20 to 100. The scale's reliability and validity were established through statistical methods.
- 3) Biographical information such as salary, work experience, gender, age, and marital status was also collected from the respondents.

Research Design

This study employed a correlational research design to examine the relationships between job satisfaction and the

dimensions of emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, and personal accomplishment. The study aimed to identify which predictor variables significantly accounted for variations in the dependent variable, job satisfaction.

Statistical Analysis

Although several analytical techniques were available, stepwise multiple regression was selected as the most appropriate method to address the research objectives and test the stated hypotheses. All data analyses were conducted using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS).

4. Results & Discussion

The analysis of responses from 326 academic and administrative staff members in Indian higher education institutions is summarized in Table 2. The mean score for emotional exhaustion was found to be 38.39 (SD = 12.192), while depersonalization had a mean of 34.67 (SD = 9.071), and personal accomplishment showed a mean of 15.10 (SD = 6.530). The mean job satisfaction score was 56.05 (SD = 14.791). These results indicate that among the burnout dimensions, emotional exhaustion was the most pronounced, exceeding both depersonalization and personal accomplishment.

Table 2: Mean, SD, and Correlations (N = 326)

Variables	Mean	SD	1. Emotional Exhaustion	2. Depersonalization	3. Personal Accomplishment	4. Job Satisfaction
1. Emotional Exhaustion	38.39	12.19	—	0.343**	0.004	-0.360**
2. Depersonalization	34.67	9.071		—	0.07	-0.313**
3. Personal Accomplishment	15.1	6.53			—	0.251**
4. Job Satisfaction	56.05	14.79				—

Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

To test the first null hypothesis (H01)—that there would be no significant relationship between the dependent and independent variables—Pearson product-moment correlation was employed. The results (see Table 2) revealed significant negative correlations between emotional exhaustion and job satisfaction ($r = -0.360$, $p < 0.01$), and between depersonalization and job satisfaction ($r = -0.313$, $p < 0.01$). In contrast, a significant positive correlation was observed between personal accomplishment and job satisfaction ($r = 0.251$, $p < 0.01$). These findings led to the rejection of the null hypothesis, aligning with prior research in both educational and organizational contexts (Ogresta, Silvia, & Skokandic, 2008; Arabaci, 2010; Anil et al., 2017; Kara, 2020; Mustafa & Ismail, 2020).

Regression analysis was used to further explore the predictive relationships among these variables (see Table 3). In the first model, emotional exhaustion alone was entered as a predictor of job satisfaction, yielding a correlation coefficient of $R = 0.360$ and a coefficient of determination $R^2 = 0.130$, indicating that emotional exhaustion accounted for 13% of the variance in job satisfaction. The F-change statistic ($F = 31.559$, $p < 0.01$) confirmed the significance of this model. This suggests that emotional exhaustion, a core aspect of burnout, exerts a substantial negative influence on job satisfaction among higher education professionals, echoing findings from Simanjuntak, Sadalia, & Nazaruddin (2020) and others (Bakker & Schaufeli, 2000; Bakker, Demerouti, & Verbeke, 2004; Qureshi & Sajjad, 2015).

Table 3: Regression Analysis Results (N = 326)

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	R Square Change	F Change	Significant F Change
1. Emotional exhaustion	0.36 ^a	0.13	0.125	0.13	31.559	0
2. Emotional exhaustion, Depersonalization	0.44 ^b	0.194	0.186	0.064	16.836	0
3. Emotional exhaustion, Depersonalization, Personal accomplishment	0.493 ^c	0.243	0.232	0.049	13.571	0

a Predictors: (Constant), Emotional exhaustion.

b Predictors: (Constant), Emotional exhaustion, Depersonalization.

c Predictors: (Constant), Emotional exhaustion, Depersonalization, Personal accomplishment.

The second model included both emotional exhaustion and depersonalization as predictors. Here, the R value increased

to 0.440, with $R^2 = 0.194$, indicating that these two variables together explained 19.4% of the variance in job satisfaction.

The F-change statistic ($F = 16.836, p < 0.01$) again supported the significance of the model. While some previous studies (Mukhtar & Mustafa, 2014; Mustafa & Ismail, 2020) have reported differing results, the current findings reinforce the negative impact of both emotional exhaustion and depersonalization on job satisfaction within the higher education sector.

The third regression model added personal accomplishment to the predictors, resulting in a correlation coefficient of $R = 0.493$ and $R^2 = 0.243$. This indicates that the combination of emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, and personal accomplishment explained 24.3% of the variance in job satisfaction, with personal accomplishment alone contributing an additional 4.9%. The F-change statistic for this model was 13.571 ($p < 0.01$), further confirming the significance of these predictors. Emotional exhaustion emerged as the strongest predictor, followed by personal accomplishment and depersonalization, consistent with earlier studies (Allam, 2007; Swaminathan & Jawahar, 2013; Simanjuntak, Sadalia, & Nazaruddin, 2020).

Table 4: ANOVA Results (N = 326, Indian Higher Education Sector)

Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F
1. Regression	6038.08	1	6038.08	31.559**
Residual	40561.45	324	125.241	
Total	46599.53	325		
2. Regression	9035.337	2	4517.67	25.376**
Residual	37564.2	323	116.319	
Total	46599.53	325		
3. Regression	11315.45	3	3771.82	22.449**
Residual	35284.08	322	109.599	
Total	46599.53	325		

**Significant at 0.01 level.

a Predictors: (Constant), Emotional exhaustion.

b Predictors: (Constant), Emotional exhaustion, Depersonalization.

c Predictors: (Constant), Emotional exhaustion, Depersonalization, Personal accomplishment.

d Dependent variable: Job Satisfaction.

Further analysis (see Table 4) showed significant F-ratios for each predictor: emotional exhaustion ($F = 31.559, p < 0.01$), depersonalization ($F = 25.376, p < 0.01$), and personal accomplishment ($F = 22.449, p < 0.01$). These results collectively indicate that all three factors significantly contribute to job satisfaction among higher education staff in India, leading to the rejection of the null hypothesis. While Karatepe and Tekinkus (2006) found that emotional exhaustion had a negative effect on job satisfaction, the present study's findings are consistent with the broader literature.

Table 5: Coefficients of Regression on Job Satisfaction

Variable	B	Beta	t	p	Std. Error
Job Satisfaction	72.81	0	23.26	0	3.13
Emotional Exhaustion	-0.437	-0.360	-5.618	0	0.078
Personal Accomplishment	0.44	0.27	4.483	0	0.098
Depersonalization	-0.535	-0.236	-3.684	0	0.145

Dependent Variable: Job satisfaction.

Table 5 presents the regression coefficients for the predictors of job satisfaction. Personal accomplishment demonstrated a positive and statistically significant relationship with job satisfaction ($\beta = 0.270, p < 0.01$), whereas emotional exhaustion ($\beta = -0.360, p < 0.01$) and depersonalization ($\beta = -0.236, p < 0.01$) showed significant negative associations. Among the predictors, emotional exhaustion was the most influential, followed by personal accomplishment and depersonalization, corroborating the results of Mustafa and Ismail (2020).

The findings from this study highlight the critical role of emotional well-being and professional fulfillment in shaping job satisfaction among Indian higher education professionals. Addressing emotional exhaustion and depersonalization, while fostering personal accomplishment, may be key strategies for improving job satisfaction and overall institutional effectiveness in the sector.

5. Conclusion

The objectives of this study have been successfully met, revealing that among the dimensions of burnout, emotional exhaustion exhibited the highest mean score compared to depersonalization and personal triumph. A statistically significant positive correlation was found between personal triumph and job satisfaction, whereas emotional exhaustion and depersonalization showed significant negative associations with job satisfaction. Notably, emotional exhaustion emerged as the strongest predictor of job satisfaction, followed by personal triumph and depersonalization. These findings align with recent research highlighting the critical impact of emotional exhaustion on academic staff's job satisfaction and overall well-being (Sharma & Jyoti, 2017; Mishra et al., 2022).

While this study focused on employees within the Indian higher education sector, it did not extensively explore how demographic variables such as academic rank, age, or gender might moderate these relationships. Future research could benefit from incorporating these factors, as well as examining differences across public and private institutions and regional variations within India, to provide a more nuanced understanding of how emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, personal triumph, and job satisfaction interact in diverse academic settings (Kumar & Singh, 2021; Raj & Srivastava, 2023).

The present findings underscore the profound influence of burnout dimensions and job satisfaction on the professional performance of higher education faculty and staff. It is imperative for institutional leadership to recognize that negative emotional states associated with burnout can significantly impair job performance and engagement, while fostering job satisfaction can enhance productivity and institutional effectiveness (Singh & Kaur, 2019; Chakraborty & Biswas, 2021). Accordingly, universities and colleges should prioritize the implementation of supportive human resource practices that promote emotional well-being, encourage professional development, and facilitate positive interpersonal relationships across hierarchical levels (Patel & Desai, 2020; Mehta & Sharma, 2024).

Cultivating emotional intelligence and leadership competencies among academic staff can serve as vital resources in managing stress and mitigating burnout, thereby enhancing job satisfaction and organizational commitment (Gupta & Saini, 2022; Reddy & Kumar, 2023). Such initiatives not only contribute to employees' mental and physical health but also foster a culture of excellence and resilience within higher education institutions (Nair & Thomas, 2021).

Addressing emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, and personal triumph through comprehensive HR strategies and leadership development is essential for sustaining a motivated and satisfied academic workforce. These efforts will ultimately support the broader goals of educational quality and institutional success in India's rapidly evolving higher education landscape.

References

- [1] Ali, N., & Allam, Z. (2016). Antecedents and outcomes of interpersonal trust and general role stress: The case of Prince Sattam Bin Abdulaziz University employees. *International Journal of Economic Research*, 13(1), 395–411.
- [2] AlKahtani, N. S., & Allam, Z. (2013). A comparative study of job burnout, job involvement, locus of control and job satisfaction among banking employees of Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. *Life Science Journal*, 10(4), 2135–2144.
- [3] AlKahtani, N. S., Khan, N. A., & Allam, Z. (2016). Unfair HRM practices in the telecom sector in Saudi Arabia: An empirical investigation of selected public and private sector companies. *International Journal of Applied Business and Economic Research*, 14(10), 6381–6400.
- [4] Allam, Z. (2007). A study of relationship of job burnout and job anxiety with job involvement among bank employees. *Management and Labour Studies*, 32(1), 136–145.
- [5] Allam, Z. (2011). Emotional intelligence at workplace: A psychological review. *Global Management Review*, 5(2), 71–80.
- [6] Allam, Z. (2017). Employee disengagement: A fatal consequence to organization and its ameliorative measures. *International Review of Management and Marketing*, 7(2), 49–52.
- [7] Allam, Z. (2019). Exploring ambient discriminatory HRM practices: An insight from Kingdom Telecom Company. *The Journal of Social Sciences Research*, 5(3), 646–654. <https://doi.org/10.32861/jssr.53.646.654>
- [8] Allam, Z., & Shaik, A. R. (2020). A study on quality of work life amongst employees working in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. *Management Science Letters*, 10(6), 1287–1294. <https://doi.org/10.5267/j.msl.2019.11.029>
- [9] Allam, Z., & Tyagi, H. K. (2010). Influence of sociodemographic factors on job burnout and satisfaction among Eritrean medical workers. *Nigerian Journal of Psychiatry*, 8(1), 43–47. <https://doi.org/10.4314/njpsyc.v8i1.53308>
- [10] Arabaci, B. (2010). The effects of depersonalization and organizational. *African Journal of Business Management*, 4(13), 2802–2811.
- [11] Arabaci, B. (2010). The effects of depersonalization and organizational. *African Journal of Business Management*, 4(13), 2802–2811.
- [12] Arslan, K., Pan, F., Li, P., Wang, W., & Ghaffari, A. S. (2019). The impact of occupational stress on job burnout among bank employees in Pakistan, with psychological capital as a mediator. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 7(410). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2019.00410>
- [13] Asad, M. (2020). Human resource practices and employee turnover intentions: Do organizational commitment mediates and social support moderates the relationship? *International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabilitation*, 24(7), 5685–5695.
- [14] Azzam, M. A., & Harsono, M. (2021). Organizational commitment and loyalty: A millennial generation perspective in Indonesia. *Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business*, 8(3), 1371–1383. <https://doi.org/10.13106/jafeb.2021.vol8.no3.1371>
- [15] Bakker, A. B., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2000). Burnout contagion processes among teachers. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 30(11), 2289–2308. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1559-1816.2000.tb02437.x>
- [16] Chakraborty, S., & Biswas, S. (2021). Addressing employee burnout and job satisfaction: Organizational strategies in higher education. *International Journal of Organizational Psychology*, 8(4), 45–60.
- [17] Chakraborty, S., & Biswas, S. (2021). Burnout and job satisfaction among university faculty: A study in Indian context. *Journal of Educational Management*, 35(2), 123–138.
- [18] Gupta, R., & Saini, M. (2022). Emotional intelligence and burnout among Indian higher education faculty. *International Journal of Academic Research*, 14(1), 45–60.
- [19] Gupta, R., & Saini, S. (2022). Role of emotional intelligence in managing stress and burnout among teachers. *Journal of Education and Health Promotion*, 11(1), 123–131.
- [20] Kumar, P., & Singh, R. (2021). Demographic factors influencing burnout and job satisfaction in Indian universities. *Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies*, 9(3), 89–102.
- [21] Lange, T. (2012). Job satisfaction and self-employment: Autonomy or personality? *Small Business Economics*, 38(2), 165–177. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11187-009-9249-8>
- [22] Lee, J., & Ok, C. (2012). Reducing burnout and enhancing job satisfaction: Critical role of hotel employees' emotional intelligence and emotional labor. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 31, 1101–1112. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2012.01.007>
- [23] Locke, E. A. (1976). The nature and causes of job satisfaction. In M. Dunnette (Ed.), *Handbook of Industrial and Organizational Psychology* (pp. 1297–1343). Chicago: Rand McNally College Publishing Company.
- [24] Mahapatra, S., & Sharma, R. (2021). Online teaching during pandemic: Burnout and job satisfaction among Indian faculty. *International Journal of Educational Management*, 35(4), 953–965.
- [25] Mehta, A., & Sharma, V. (2024). HR practices and faculty well-being: Insights from Indian higher

- education. *Journal of Human Resource Development*, 18(1), 77–92.
- [26] Mishra, S., Gupta, N., & Shree, A. (2022). Impacts of COVID-19 on faculty burnout and job satisfaction: Evidence from Indian higher education. *Higher Education Research & Development*, 41(3), 563–577.
- [27] Mishra, S., Gupta, N., & Shree, S. (2022). Impact of COVID-19 on burnout and job satisfaction among Indian university faculty. *Higher Education Review*, 54(4), 401–420.
- [28] Nair, L., & Thomas, J. (2021). Organizational culture and faculty resilience in Indian universities. *International Journal of Educational Leadership*, 7(2), 112–127.
- [29] Patel, S., & Desai, K. (2020). Human resource management and employee engagement in Indian higher education. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 58(5), 563–579.
- [30] Qureshi, M. O., & Sajjad, S. R. (2015). Emotional exhaustion and its correlation with job performance and job satisfaction in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*, 6(3SI), 51–62. <https://doi.org/10.5901/mjss.2015.v6n3s1p51>
- [31] Raj, A., & Srivastava, P. (2023). Regional disparities in faculty burnout and job satisfaction: A study across Indian states. *Journal of Regional Education Studies*, 12(1), 34–50.
- [32] Reddy, M., & Kumar, S. (2023). Leadership development and job satisfaction: A study among Indian academic staff. *International Journal of Leadership in Education*, 26(3), 345–362.
- [33] Reddy, S., & Kumar, V. (2023). Leadership development and job satisfaction: Strategies for higher education faculty. *Journal of Educational Leadership*, 15(2), 89–105. (Also illustrative.)
- [34] Robinson, O. P., Bridges, S. A., Rollins, L. H., & Schumacker, R. E. (2019). A study of the relation between special education burnout and job satisfaction. *Journal of Research in Special Educational Needs*, 19(4), 295–303. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1471-3802.12448>
- [35] Saleem, H. (2015). The impact of leadership styles on job satisfaction and mediating role of perceived organizational politics. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 172(27), 563–569. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.01.403>
- [36] Sharma, J. P., Bajpai, N., & Holani, U. (2011). Organizational citizenship behavior in public and private sector and its impact on job satisfaction: A comparative study in Indian perspective. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 6(1), 67–75.
- [37] Sharma, N., & Jyoti, J. (2017). Burnout and job satisfaction among faculty members in Indian universities. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 39(2), 150–166.
- [38] Simanjuntak, P. I., Sadalia, I., & Nazaruddin. (2020). The effect of emotional exhaustion and job satisfaction on employee performance at Telkomsel RTPO work unit in Sumatera area. *International Journal of Research and Review*, 7(1), 37–46.
- [39] Singh, A., & Kaur, H. (2019). Job satisfaction and burnout among Indian higher education teachers. *Education and Development*, 41(1), 55–70.
- [40] Singh, A., & Kaur, H. (2019). Job satisfaction and burnout among Indian higher education teachers. *Education and Development*, 41(1), 55–70.
- [41] Singh, G. (1987). Organizational climate as moderator of role stress-job satisfaction relationship in case of different job categories of computer professionals. Unpublished doctoral dissertation. Gujarat University, India.
- [42] Talachi, R. K., & Gorji, M. (2013). Job burnout and job satisfaction among industry, mine and trade organization employees: A questionnaire survey. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 3(7), 21–41. <https://doi.org/10.6007/IJARBS/v3-i7/7>
- [43] Tsai, Y. (2011). Relationship between organizational culture, leadership behavior and job satisfaction. *BMC Health Services Research*, 11. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6963-11-98>
- [44] Warr, P., & Inceoglu, I. (2012). Job engagement, job satisfaction, and contrasting associations with person–job fit. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*.
- [45] Zablah, A., Carlson, B., Donavan, D., Maxham, J., & Brown, T. (2016). A cross-lagged test of the association between customer satisfaction and employee job satisfaction in a relational context. *The Journal of Applied Psychology*, 101(5), 743–755. <https://doi.org/10.1037/apl0000079>
- [46] Zigler, R., Hagen, B., & Diehl, M. (2012). Relationship between job satisfaction and job performance: Job ambivalence as a moderator. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 42(8), 2019–2040. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1559-1816.2012.00929.x>