

The Impact of Mobile-Assisted Language Learning on Reading Engagement of English Majors

Shan Sheng

School of Foreign Languages, Yangtze University College of Arts and Sciences, Jingzhou 434020, Hubei, China

Abstract: *This study investigates how mobile-assisted language learning (MALL) shapes English majors' reading engagement through a tripartite framework encompassing cognitive, behavioral, and affective dimensions. By conducting comparative analyses between mobile learning environments and traditional classroom settings, the research reveals that contextualized task design and adaptive intelligent algorithms inherent in mobile platforms fundamentally restructure learners' behavioral patterns and emotional investment trajectories. Empirical findings demonstrate that instant feedback mechanisms and microlearning opportunities offered by mobile technologies effectively enhance learning motivation and cognitive adaptability. However, these advantages coexist with heightened risks of attentional fragmentation and technology overreliance. The study advocates for pedagogical strategies that strategically balance technological empowerment with fundamental teaching principles, proposing an integrated ecosystem that synergizes classroom instruction with mobile learning experiences. These insights provide both theoretical grounding and practical guidelines for optimizing language education within digital transformation initiatives.*

Keywords: Mobile-Assisted Language Learning, Student Engagement, Three-Dimensional Engagement Framework, Technological Empowerment, English Majors.

1. Introduction

The proliferation of digital technologies is redefining pathways and modalities in language education, where the integration of mobile devices and educational applications has cultivated unprecedented autonomous learning ecosystems. Amid this transformation, the correlation between English majors' engagement and learning outcomes has emerged as a critical research frontier. Current scholarship predominantly confines itself to conventional classroom-based engagement metrics, inadequately addressing the spatiotemporal decoupling and human-device interactions that fundamentally reshape learner immersion in mobile environments [1]. This theoretical deficiency has precipitated a paradoxical reality: while adoption rates of mobile learning tools surge exponentially, widening performance disparities among learners underscore systemic incompatibilities between traditional assessment paradigms and mobile pedagogical contexts.

Centering learning efficacy as the ultimate evaluative criterion, this investigation establishes a tripartite analytical framework spanning cognitive, behavioral, and affective engagement. Through controlled variable experimentation, it disentangles MALL's authentic pedagogical impacts. The research employs a dual-cohort comparative model, contrasting an experimental group executing language tasks via customized mobile platforms against a control group adhering to traditional classroom instruction, with multidimensional engagement tracked longitudinally. Specific attention is directed toward how mobile learning's microlearning characteristics reconfigure cognitive persistence, how real-time feedback architectures reshape behavioral participation patterns, and how technological mediation influences motivational trajectories through affective channels.

2. Conceptual Foundations and Characteristics of Mobile-Assisted Language Learning

2.1 Evolutionary Trajectory: From CALL to MALL's Paradigmatic Shift

Computer-Assisted Language Learning (CALL) historically dominated digital language education through structured interactions between fixed terminals and preprogrammed instructional sequences. The mobile technology revolution has propelled the field into the era of Mobile-Assisted Language Learning (MALL), a transition that transcends mere device portability to fundamentally reconfigure pedagogical architectures [2]. MALL's defining characteristic lies in decoupling physical spaces from learning behaviors, leveraging mobile devices' ubiquitous connectivity to seamlessly embed language acquisition within fragmented daily scenarios.

Diverging from CALL's reliance on systematic curricula, MALL prioritizes contextualized knowledge construction through geolocation-triggered lexical acquisition and cross-cultural linguistic practice via instant messaging functionalities. This paradigm evolution—from premeditated instruction to emergent learning—reimagines the spatiotemporal matrix of language education, transforming structured drills into organically occurring cognitive processes that align with natural communication patterns.

2.2 Technological Enabling Mechanisms

The hardware-software symbiosis in mobile devices has reconfigured textual processing paradigms in language education. Multimodal sensors enable context-aware reading environments where ambient factors synchronize with digital interventions. High-resolution cameras extract printed/digital texts from physical surroundings, activating automated lexical scaffolding through dynamic glossary pop-ups and collocation visualizations [3]. Machine learning architectures construct personalized reading networks by analyzing behavioral patterns: prolonged fixation on specific paragraphs may trigger layered annotations (e.g., cultural references or syntactic deconstruction), while rapid page-turning gestures

prompt adaptive text simplification algorithms to reduce cognitive friction. Embedded eye-tracking proxies infer comprehension depth through scanpath analysis—repeated regressive eye movements on academic jargon activate just-in-time concept maps, whereas linear progression through narrative texts may unlock tiered critical thinking prompts. Natural language processing engines decode highlighting behaviors, distinguishing mechanical marking (random underlining) from strategic engagement (color-coded thematic tagging), subsequently generating metacognitive reflection prompts. Touchscreen haptics translate finger pressure variations into engagement metrics—sustained tactile contact during poetry analysis could signal emotional resonance, triggering affective reinforcement through multimedia intertextuality.

2.3 Engagement Disparities with Traditional Classrooms

The core distinction between mobile learning environments and conventional classrooms lies in the inversion of engagement drivers. While traditional pedagogy predominantly relies on instructor authority and collective discipline to sustain participation, mobile-assisted learning cultivates intrinsic motivation through real-time feedback mechanisms and gamification design. Observable engagement metrics in physical classrooms, such as hand-raising frequency or verbal contributions, manifest through overt behavioral indicators [4]. In contrast, mobile engagement becomes embedded within interaction metrics: duration of content exploration, repetition counts in reading material reviews, or even interface dwell time before app exit collectively unveil authentic learning investment. This paradigm necessitates heightened self-regulatory capacities among learners. Educators face the challenge of reconceptualizing pedagogical scaffolding—designing tasks that neither replicate traditional classroom control structures nor descend into unstructured digital exploration. The critical balance requires crafting mobile experiences that provide adaptive guidance while preserving learner agency, acknowledging that over structuring negates mobile learning's contextual flexibility, whereas excessive autonomy risks cognitive disorientation.

3. Multidimensional Deconstruction of Student Engagement

Evaluating engagement in mobile-assisted language learning demands transcending traditional classroom observation models by establishing a multi-layered analytical framework attuned to mobile learning ecosystems.

3.1 Cognitive Dimension

Cognitive measurement focuses on the real-time calibration between textual complexity and individual decoding capacities. By tracking interaction sequences during digital reading sessions, researchers can pinpoint semantic network activation patterns and processing thresholds. For instance, when readers persistently bypass annotated passages in academic papers, this behavioral pattern may signal ineffective skimming strategies, triggering system-initiated diagnostics to examine concurrent factors like underutilization of glossary pop-ups or inadequate exposure

to discourse connectors [5]. Similarly, repeated backtracking through argumentative paragraphs might reveal compounding challenges ranging from lexical inferencing failures to syntactic complexity barriers in processing disciplinary - specific nominalization clusters.

3.2 Behavioral Dimension

Capturing behavioral engagement requires granular analysis of digital footprints within mobile platforms, including temporal patterns of feature usage, navigational logic across interfaces, and response velocity profiles. These micro - interactions form unique engagement signatures: rapid screen swiping may correlate with attentional diffusion or content relevance deficits, whereas sustained utilization of long-press dictionary functions often signals metacognitive monitoring and self-regulated learning strategies [6]. Interface dwell time matrices combined with scroll depth analytics further enable differentiation between superficial browsing and substantive content processing.

3.3 Affective Dimension

Affective evaluation necessitates the integration of readers' subjective experiences with latent behavioral cues, employing dynamic biometric loops to capture perceptions of textual flow pacing and annotation interface ergonomics. Particular attention is directed toward emotional fluctuation patterns during abrupt shifts in textual complexity. For example, a sudden escalation from literary narratives to academic discourse may trigger prolonged page-turning latency and frequent digital annotation erasure-revision cycles, coinciding with marked increases in cognitive dissonance indexes within eye-tracking heatmaps and real-time self-report dashboards.

The innovative value of this tripartite measurement model resides in its dynamic synthesis capabilities: converting discrete technological interactions (e.g., taps, swipes, pauses) into continuous learning state mappings. Cross-referencing cognitive intensity indices, behavioral pattern typologies, and affective trendlines reveals their interdependent dynamics across learning phases. This integrated approach not only captures real-time engagement states but also reconstructs longitudinal engagement trajectories, enabling educators to differentiate transient motivational spikes from sustainable learning commitments [7]. The model's diagnostic power emerges from its capacity to correlate momentary disengagement signals (e.g., erratic scrolling) with systemic affective attrition patterns.

4. Empirical Investigation

4.1 Experimental Architecture

To elucidate MALL's reading engagement mechanisms, this study employs a two-group controlled experiment with matched textual materials. The experimental cohort accessed reading tasks through a customized mobile platform integrating textual analysis, critical reading, and discourse mapping modules with offline text caching and annotation synchronization. The control group utilized traditional print-based instruction mirroring the experimental group's text genres and reading duration. Participants comprised

English majors from parallel cohorts, screened via pre-tests for baseline reading proficiency equivalence while excluding habitual e-reader users to control confounding variables. The 4-week intervention covered complete reading cycles from text decoding to critical evaluation. The experimental platform incorporated dynamic textual adaptation: sequential completion of three academic passages triggered intertextual reinforcement materials (e.g., author interviews or historical context videos), while persistent lexical inference failures activated adaptive lexical scaffolding through tiered definition banks. Control group instruction, designed by the same instructional design team, maintained content parity via prescribed literary canons and expository exercises. Reading engagement was quantified through digital ethnography protocols tracking textual interrogation depth (e.g., annotation layers per paragraph) and discourse mapping accuracy in concept network visualizations.

4.2 Data Acquisition and Analytical Framework

The study implemented context-aware data collection protocols tailored to each learning environment. Cognitive dimension assessment utilized standardized language proficiency assessments encompassing listening comprehension, lexical application, and grammatical discrimination modules. Pre- and post-test instruments underwent rigorous calibration, with test difficulty and content coverage calibrated through expert panel reviews to ensure psychometric equivalence. Behavioral data acquisition strategies reflected environmental specificities. Experimental group metrics (including daily platform access frequency and per-task engagement duration) were captured via backend logs, while control group indicators such as attendance rates

and classroom attentional spans were documented through observational checklists. Cross-environment comparability was ensured through temporal alignment via timestamp synchronization protocols. Affective dimension evaluation adopted a hybridized approach. Experimental group interactions tracked technology-mediated metrics like encouragement feedback utilization rates, contrasted against control group proxies such as instructor consultation frequency. Both cohorts completed identical 5-point Likert scale motivation assessments (1=very low, 5=very high) to establish affective baseline parity.

Experimental group behavioral data underwent algorithmic filtering to purge non-intentional interactions (e.g., accidental taps, transient exits), with clickstream sequences encoded into meaningful learning sequences. Coherent pathways like “vocabulary drill → error correction → listening reinforcement” were tagged as deep engagement patterns. Control group data analysis involved double-blind observers coding attentional states at 10-minute intervals (e.g., note-taking intensity, gaze tracking), computing weighted averages of on-task duration. Affective metrics transformation standardized experimental group’s feedback interaction rates and control group’s consultation frequencies into weekly normalized frequencies. Motivation scores underwent validity screening using reverse-coded items to eliminate response bias. Temporal unification converted mobile “per-task duration” and classroom “attentional persistence” into comparable minutes-per-task metrics through temporal bucketing algorithms. Multimodal data streams were integrated via temporal alignment matrices, enabling cross-dimensional correlation analysis between cognitive progression curves and behavioral modality shifts.

Table 1: Comparative Analysis of Multidimensional Engagement Metrics between two learning models

Dimension	Indicator	Experimental Group (Mean ± SD)	Control Group (Mean ± SD)	Significance (p-value)
Cognitive	Post-test score improvement (points)	15.32±3.45	10.76±4.12	0.023*
Cognitive	Task completion rate (%)	88.67±5.21	79.34±8.93	0.015*
Cognitive	Daily platform logins (times)	3.45±0.87	-	-
Behavioral	Class attendance rate (%)	-	95.12±4.32	-
Behavioral	Single-task focus duration (minutes)	8.23±2.15 (Mobile)	12.76±3.45 (Classroom)	0.001*
Behavioral	Encouragement feedback frequency (times/week)	3.72±1.28	-	-
Affective	Active communication frequency (times/week)	-	1.23±0.87	-
Affective	Learning motivation score (5-point scale)	4.12±0.45	3.56±0.62	0.008*

Table 1 reveals distinct engagement patterns between the experimental and control groups across core metrics. The technology-enhanced experimental cohort demonstrated superior interaction frequency, evidenced by 3.45 daily platform logins and 3.72 weekly encouragement feedback engagements. In contrast, the control group maintained conventional engagement stability through 95.12% classroom attendance rates.

Comparative analysis of shared metrics shows statistically significant differences in learning motivation scores (experimental: 4.12±0.45 vs. control: 3.56±0.62; p<0.01), substantiating mobile tools’ capacity to amplify affective incentives. While the experimental group’s single-task focus duration (8.23±2.15 minutes) proved shorter in absolute terms than classroom-based engagement (12.76±3.45 minutes), its lower variability (62% reduced standard deviation) reflects behavioral homogenization tendencies in mobile learning environments.

Cross-dimensional analyses uncovered critical divergence: experimental group feedback interaction frequency moderately correlated with task completion rates (r=0.47, p=0.012), whereas no significant association emerged between classroom questioning frequency and post-test improvement in the control cohort. This discrepancy suggests technological mediation fundamentally restructures engagement-influence pathways, potentially creating new leverage points for pedagogical optimization.

5. Systemic Analysis of Impact Mechanisms

5.1 Facilitating Effects

Mobile-assisted language learning (MALL) has catalytically transformed reading engagement through three core technological affordances. The contextual annotation system operates as a cognitive scaffold. Readers instantaneously receive lexical scaffolding upon encountering disciplinary -

specific terminology [8]. For instance, opaque academic jargon in journal articles triggers tiered definition pop-ups with concept mapping visuals, enabling rapid schema construction while fostering self-regulated comprehension monitoring through interactive glossary customization.

Gamified discourse communities amplify behavioral persistence through collaborative textual interrogation. Departmental leaderboards tracking rhetorical analysis accuracy motivate English majors to voluntarily engage in supplementary close reading exercises, with many pursuing “Critical Reader” certifications by deconstructing argumentative structures across multiple genres. This social annotation mechanism transforms peer benchmarking into epistemic curiosity drivers.

Seamless text-environment integration capitalizes on mobile reading’s spatiotemporal fluidity. Learners strategically utilize interstitial moments—annotating Faulkner’s stream-of-consciousness passages during subway commutes or completing ten-minute journal article deconstruction before seminars—transforming fragmented intervals into hyperconnected textuality. Such agile engagement patterns resonate with digital learners’ cognitive elasticity: micro-session literary analysis aligns with their capacity for rapid intertextual switching, with 72% reporting enhanced narrative immersion comparable to multimedia gaming experiences [9].

With reader agency as the central catalyst, customizable textual pathways enable discipline-specific exploration. For instance, Victorian literature enthusiasts systematically strengthen close reading skills through interactive character network visualizations, while linguistics concentrators refine discourse analysis proficiency via corpus-driven genre comparison tools. This self-directed intertextuality effect transmutes academic reading obligations into volitional intellectual expeditions, effectively bridging textual consumption with scholarly production.

5.2 Latent Risks

The over-integration of technological tools risks destabilizing sustainable deep engagement. While mobile applications’ sensory-overload interfaces (such as pop-up notifications and animated effects) may initially capture attention, chronic exposure to multimodal stimuli predisposes users to cognitive overload, manifested through erratic learning path navigation and superficial knowledge assimilation. A subset of learners becomes trapped in interface exploration loops, prioritizing feature manipulation over substantive content interaction. Equity concerns intensify with uneven digital resource allocation. Device capability disparities create functional access gradients. Students in low-bandwidth regions often abandon real-time collaboration modules, exacerbating participation divides. More insidiously, algorithmic homogenization tendencies emerge as platforms over-rely on historical behavioral data, inadvertently constructing linguistic filter bubbles that constrain plurilingual competence development. This phenomenon disproportionately affects learners with niche interests, whose exposure to diverse language registers diminishes as recommendation engines prioritize high-engagement content clusters.

5.3 Optimization Pathways

Educators must reconceptualize mobile reading as a hermeneutic complement to classroom textual analysis. This requires designing dual-track interpretation frameworks where classrooms establish critical reading protocols through guided close reading, while mobile platforms enable hypertextual exploration. Post-seminar mobile assignments could involve augmented reality annotation tasks. For instance, students scan physical texts like Shakespeare folios in libraries to activate holographic authorial commentary layers, transforming static print into dialogic reading matrices that bridge textual surfaces with intertextual depths.

Institutional support systems should prioritize digital literacy empowerment for literary pedagogy. Regular workshops on textual analytics tools can equip educators with genre-specific integration strategies, enabling curated selection of annotation features aligned with disciplinary reading objectives (e.g., disabling sentiment analysis tools for New Criticism poetry studies) [10]. Collaborative development of mobile reading matrices — specifying paratext intervention thresholds, hyperlink density gradients, and cognitive load benchmarks — ensures symbiotic articulation between print-based close reading and digital textuality. For instance, narrative structure gamification modules could interface with classroom Faulkner studies through achievement-unlocked “stream-of-consciousness decoding privileges,” establishing transmedia interpretive authority transfer mechanisms.

Sustainable reading engagement necessitates multimodal assessment ecosystems. Educators should leverage digital marginalia analytics to identify hermeneutic patterns: midnight highlighting marathons on Romantic poetry without analytical annotations may indicate affective-cognitive disjuncture, while excessive translation tool dependency for Miltonic syntax warrants metacognitive reading strategy interventions. Crucially, classrooms retain irreplaceable value in cultivating embodied textual encounters—the collective gasp when unpacking Plath’s metaphorical density through vocalized close reading remains pedagogically irreducible. The optimal equilibrium emerges from epistemic synergy—classrooms forge analytical rigor while mobile tools activate participatory textuality—thereby actualizing technology as an enabler of deep reading praxis.

6. Conclusion

This study systematically uncovers the dualistic impacts of mobile-assisted language learning (MALL) on student’s English reading engagement through a tripartite analytical framework integrating cognitive, behavioral, and affective dimensions. Theoretically, it transcends the limitations of unidimensional engagement assessments prevalent in traditional pedagogy by establishing a dynamic measurement paradigm tailored to mobile learning contexts, thereby pioneering new analytical pathways for digital education research. Practically, empirical findings regarding real-time feedback efficacy and microlearning behavioral patterns offer tangible design principles for optimizing mobile learning tools and informing classroom-technology integration strategies. Simultaneously, the research serves as a critical reminder of latent risks—including attentional diffusion and

superficial engagement—that necessitate educators’ strategic calibration between technological empowerment and cognitive ergonomics.

Future research should prioritize three interconnected directions: exploring synergistic mechanisms between mobile tools and classroom instruction through cross-contextual engagement transfer models; developing adaptive algorithms capable of personalizing interventions while circumventing filter bubble effects; and investigating longitudinal educational impacts on autonomous learning capacities and higher-order thinking development. Expanding the research scope to encompass vocational and adult education populations will further validate the model’s generalizability. As the digital transformation of education accelerates, anchoring technological implementation in human-centered ethical frameworks remains paramount. Only through such principled integration can MALL evolve beyond mere technological novelty to become a transformative catalyst for fostering deep engagement and advancing equitable learning opportunities across diverse educational landscapes.

Acknowledgements

This paper is supported by Fund Projects: Yangtze University College of Arts and Sciences 2024 First-Class Undergraduate Course Construction - Comprehensive English (1) Interim Results.

References

[1] Pearlin E, Gnana Gandhi S M. Impact of Read Theory in Mobile-Assisted Language Learning on Engineering Freshmen’s Reading Comprehension Using BI-LSTM [J]. *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science & Applications*, 2024, 15(9).

[2] Hwang H B, Coss M D, Loewen S, et al. Acceptance and engagement patterns of mobile-assisted language learning among non-conventional adult L2 learners: A survival analysis [J]. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*, 2024, 46(4).

[3] Benlaghrissi H, Ouahidi L M. The Impact of Mobile-Assisted Language Learning on Developing EFL Learners’ Vocabulary Knowledge[J]. *International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies*, 2023, 17(22):14.

[4] Viberg O, Kukulska-Hulme A, Peeters W. Affective Support for Self-Regulation in Mobile-Assisted Language Learning [J]. *International Journal of Mobile & Blended Learning*, 2023, 15(2).

[5] Yudhiantara R, Nasir I A. Toward Mobile-Assisted Language Learning (MALL): Reaping Mobile Phone Benefits in Classroom Activities [J]. *Register Journal*, 2017, 10(1):12.

[6] Zhang Y, Zhang L, Chen T, et al. Acceptance and Use of Mobile-Assisted Language Learning for Vocational College Students[C]//*International Conference on 5G for Future Wireless Networks*. Springer, Cham, 2022.

[7] Nuraeni C, Carolina I, Supriyatna A, et al. Mobile-Assisted Language Learning (MALL): Students’ Perception and Problems towards Mobile Learning in English Language [J]. *Journal of Physics Conference*

Series, 2020, 1641: 012027. DOI:10.1088/1742-6596/1641/1/012027.

[8] Jiang C. WITHDRAWN: The effect of intrinsic value, self-management learning, and mobile-assisted language learning on Chinese students’ learning adaptability: A distal mediation model [J]. *Acta Psychologica*, 2023, 239(000):7.

[9] Latif I A L O. Using Mobile-Assisted Language Learning to Enhance EFL Oral Language Skills of Faculty of Education English Majors [J]. *Journal of Research in Curriculum, Instruction & Educational Technology*, 2024, 10(2).

[10] Hu L, Hei D, Wang H, et al. Chinese college students collaborative mobile-assisted language learning experience and flow as a key factor for further adoption [J]. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 2023, 14(000):16.